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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove a two-sided variant of the Kirszbraun theorem. Consider an arbitrary subset $X$ of Euclidean space and its superset $Y$. Let $f$ be a 1-Lipschitz map from $X$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The Kirszbraun theorem states that the map $f$ can be extended to a 1 -Lipschitz map $\tilde{f}$ from $Y$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. While the extension $\tilde{f}$ does not increase distances between points, there is no guarantee that it does not decrease distances significantly. In fact, $\tilde{f}$ may even map distinct points to the same point (that is, it can infinitely decrease some distances). However, we prove that there exists a $(1+\varepsilon)$-Lipschitz outer extension $\tilde{f}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m^{\prime}}$ that does not decrease distances more than "necessary". Namely,


$$
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq c \sqrt{\varepsilon} \min \left(\|x-y\|, \inf _{a, b \in X}(\|x-a\|+\|f(a)-f(b)\|+\|b-y\|)\right)
$$

for some absolutely constant $c>0$. This bound is asymptotically optimal, since no $L$-Lipschitz extension $g$ can have $\|g(x)-g(y)\|>L \min \left(\|x-y\|, \inf _{a, b \in X}(\|x-a\|+\|f(a)-f(b)\|+\|b-y\|)\right)$ even for a single pair of points $x$ and $y$.

In some applications, one is interested in the distances $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$ between images of points $x, y \in Y$ rather than in the map $\tilde{f}$ itself. The standard Kirszbraun theorem does not provide any method of computing these distances without computing the entire map $\tilde{f}$ first. In contrast, our theorem provides a simple approximate formula for distances $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove a two-sided variant of the Kirszbraun theorem. The Kirszbraun theorem [9] is widely used in high-dimensional geometry and analysis, and has recently found applications in theoretical computer science and machine learning $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10$, 12, 14, 15, 16]. Recall that a function $f$ from a subset $S$ of Euclidean space ${ }^{1} \ell_{2}^{n}$ to Euclidean space $\ell_{2}^{m}$ is $L$-Lipschitz if it increases distances between points by at most a factor of $L$ :

[^0]$\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq L\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$. The Lipschitz constant $\|f\|_{L i p}$ of a function $f$ is the minimum number $L$ such that $f$ is $L$-Lipschitz. Now, consider a subset $S$ of Euclidean space $\ell_{2}^{n}$, its superset $T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$, and an $L$-Lipschitz map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. The Kirszbraun theorem states that $f$ can be extended to a map $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ without increasing its Lipschitz constant. That is, the theorem guarantees that $\tilde{f}$ does not increase distances by more than a factor of $L$. Can we guarantee that distances $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$ do not decrease significantly? The theorem does not provide us with any such guarantee; in fact, $\tilde{f}$ may even map distinct points to the same point and thus contract some distances infinitely.

In this paper, we prove that there exists an extension $\tilde{f}$ that does not decrease distances more than "necessary". What kind of distance contraction is necessary? Consider sets $S \subset T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$ and an $L$-Lipschitz map $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$. Note if $f$ significantly contracts the distance between $a, b \in S$, then so must $\tilde{f}$, since $f$ and $\tilde{f}$ coincide on $a$ and $b$. Moreover, every $L$-Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}$ must map points that are close to $a$ and $b$ to points close to $f(a)$ and $f(b)$, which we assumed are close to each other. More generally, consider arbitrary points $x, y \in T, a, b \in S$, and a $c L$-Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ (where $c \geq 1$ ). Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \leq\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(a)\|+\| \tilde{f}(a) & -\tilde{f}(b)\|+\| \tilde{f}(b)-\tilde{f}(y) \| \\
& \leq c L\|x-a\|+\|f(a)-f(b)\|+c L\|b-y\| \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\tilde{f}$ is $c L$-Lipschitz, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \leq c L\|x-y\| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $\tilde{f}$ must satisfy (1) for all $a, b \in S$ and (2). We restate this condition in the following claim.
$\triangleright$ Claim 1. Let $S \subset T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$ and $f$ be an $L$-Lipschitz map from $S$ to $\ell_{2}^{m}$. Define metric $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $T$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)=\min \left(L\|x-y\|, \inf _{a, b \in S}(L\|x-a\|+\|f(a)-f(b)\|+L\|b-y\|)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for every $c \geq 1$ and a $c L$-Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ of $f$, we have

$$
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \leq c d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in T
$$

Note that $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies all the axioms of a metric except that $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)$ may be equal to 0 for $x \neq y$.

Our goal now is to prove a "tight" variant of the Kirszbraun theorem: there exists a Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ such that $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq \Omega\left(d_{\mathbf{u b}}(x, y)\right)$ for every $x$ and $y$.

However, in order to obtain such a result or, for that matter, any non-trivial result, we need to relax two conditions in the Kirszbraun theorem. We use the following example to explain what these conditions are and why we need to relax them.

- Example 2. Consider a set $S$ that consists of a circle $C$ around point $(0,0) \in \ell_{2}^{2}$ and two points $x=(2,0)$ and $y=(2,2)$.

sets $S$ and $T$
$\xrightarrow{f}$

extension $\tilde{f}$ in $\ell_{2}^{2}$

new dimension
outer extension $\tilde{f}$ in $\ell_{2}^{3}$

Define $f$ as follows: $f$ maps each point of $C$ to itself, $x$ to $x$, and $y$ to $y^{\prime}=(0,0)$. Let $T=S \cup[x, y]$. It is immediate that $f$ is 1-Lipschitz and $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(u, v)>0$ for every pair of points $u$ and $v$. However, observe that the images of $C$ and $[a, b]$ under every Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{2}$ necessarily intersect. Thus, every Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}$ infinitely decreases the distance between some pair of points.

To overcome this obstacle, we consider outer extensions $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ [14], which are allowed to use additional dimensions/coordinates. As standard, we denote the direct sum of Euclidean or Hilbert spaces $\ell_{2}^{a}$ and $\ell_{2}^{b}$ by $\ell_{2}^{a} \oplus \ell_{2}^{b}$, which is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_{2}^{a+b}$.

- Definition 3. Let $S$ be a non-empty subset of Euclidean space $\ell_{2}^{n}$ or separable Hilbert space $\ell_{2}^{\infty}$ and $T$ be its superset. Consider a map $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$, where $m$ can be finite or infinite. Map $\tilde{f}$ from $T$ to $\ell_{2}^{m} \oplus \ell_{2}^{\Delta} \simeq \ell^{m^{\prime}}$ is an outer extension of $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ to $T \supset S$ (where $\Delta$ may be finite or infinite, and $m^{\prime}=m+\Delta$ ) if it maps every point $x \in S$ to $f(x) \oplus \overline{0} .^{2}$ We will call extensions that do not use extra coordinates proper extensions.

In Example 2, a Lipschitz outer extension $\tilde{f}$ can map segment $[x, y]$ to a curve that starts at $x$, goes above the plane $\ell_{2}^{2}$, and then enters $y$. Map $\tilde{f}$ no longer maps distinct points to the same point. However, the Lipschitz constant of $\tilde{f}$ must be greater than 1 . Indeed, let $m$ be the midpoint between $x$ and $y$. Point $m$ is at distance 1 from each of the points $x$ and $y$. If $\tilde{f}$ were 1 -Lipschitz, then it would map $m$ to a point whose distances to $x=f(x)$ and $y^{\prime}=f(y)$ would not exceed 1 . However, the only such point is the midpoint between $x$ and $y^{\prime}$, which lies on $C$. Thus, if $\tilde{f}$ were 1 -Lipschitz, it would map distinct points to the same point and thus would contract some distances infinitely. Therefore, given an $L$-Lipschitz map $f$, we will construct a $(1+\varepsilon) L$-Lipschitz outer extension $\tilde{f}$ rather than an $L$-Lipschitz extension. We are ready to state our main result.

- Theorem 4 (Two-sided Kirszbraun Theorem). Consider $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$. Let $S \subset T$ be non-empty subsets of $\ell_{2}^{n}$ and $f$ be an L-Lipschitz map from $S$ to $\ell_{2}^{m}$. Let $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be as defined by formula (3). There exists a $(1+\varepsilon) L$-Lipschitz outer extension $\tilde{f}$ from $T$ to $\ell_{2}^{m} \oplus \ell_{2}^{\Delta} \simeq \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq c \sqrt{\varepsilon} d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in T
$$

Here, $c$ is an absolute constant. If $|T \backslash S|$ is finite, then $\Delta=O(\log |T \backslash S|)$ and $m^{\prime}=m+\Delta$; if $|T \backslash S|$ is infinite, then $\Delta=m^{\prime}=\infty$.

[^1]We discuss some properties of $\tilde{f}$. It is easy to see that Claim 1 applies to both proper and outer extensions. Therefore, $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \leq(1+\varepsilon) d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)$. In particular, when $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ is fixed, distances $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$ and $\Theta\left(d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)\right)$ are within a constant factor of each other.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|}{d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)} \in[c \sqrt{\varepsilon}, 1+\varepsilon] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Least possible contraction for each pair $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$. Another property of the map $\tilde{f}$ is that it asymptotically contracts distances less than any other $\left(c^{\prime} L\right)$-Lipschitz proper or outer extension $g$ of $f$ :

$$
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \stackrel{\text { by Theorem } 4}{\geq} c \sqrt{\varepsilon} d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y) \stackrel{\text { by Claim } 1}{\geq} \frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{c^{\prime}}\|g(x)-g(y)\| \quad \text { for all } x, y \in T \text {. }
$$

Easy to compute distances. For finite subsets $S$ and $T$, we can efficiently compute extensions - whose existence is guaranteed by the standard Kirszbraun theorem and Theorem 4 - using semidefinite programming (SDP). ${ }^{3}$ However, in many proofs and applications we need to know only distances $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$ and not the map $\tilde{f}$ itself. The Kirszbraun theorem does not provide any method for obtaining the distances other than computing the entire map $\tilde{f}$, using SDP or MWU, and then directly computing $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$. In contrast, Theorem 4 provides a simple formula (4) for approximately computing all pairwise distances.

## Optimal parameters

The following theorem shows that the parameters in Theorem 4 cannot be significantly improved.

- Theorem 5. The following items hold.
- There exist finite sets $S \subset \ell_{2}^{2}$ and $T=S \cup\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subset \ell_{2}^{2}$ and a 1-Lipschitz function $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{2}$ such that the following is true. For every $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ and $a(1+\varepsilon)$-Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}$,

$$
\left\|\tilde{f}\left(z_{1}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(z_{2}\right)\right\| \leq O\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} d_{\mathrm{ub}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)
$$

- For every $m, n, N \geq 1$, there exist finite sets $S \subset T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$ with $|T \backslash S|=N$ and a 1-Lipschitz map $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ such that the following is true. For every $c>0$, if $\tilde{f}: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ is an $L$-outer extension and $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq c d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in T$, then $m^{\prime} \geq c^{\prime} \log _{e} N$ where $c^{\prime}=1 /\left(\log _{e}(L / c+1)\right)$.
- For every $m, n \geq 1$, there exist infinite sets $S \subset T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$ and a 1-Lipschitz map $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ such that for every Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ satisfying $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq c d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)$ (for every $x, y \in T$ and some $c>0$ ), we have $m^{\prime}=\infty$.

[^2]
### 1.1 Applications

Next we discuss two applications of our result.

## Updating Euclidean metric

Similarity information in data sets is often encoded with Euclidean distance: two data points are similar if and only if they are close to each other (one can store either the distance itself or an embedding of points in Euclidean space). Consider the scenario where we initially have a data set $X$ and some information about objects in $X$. Based on this information, we compute a Euclidean distance $d_{X}$ on $X$. Then, we get an updated information about some subset of points $Y$. Using this information, we compute a new Euclidean distance $d_{Y}$ on $Y$. Now we want to update $d_{X}$ with new distances from $d_{Y}$. A natural way to do this is to first "combine" the metrics into a distance function $d_{c}(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$
d_{c}= \begin{cases}d_{Y}(x, y), & \text { if } x, y \in Y  \tag{5}\\ d_{X}(x, y), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $d_{c}$ is not necessarily a metric, we consider the metric closure $d_{u}$ of $d_{c}$ (recall that by definition $d_{u}(x, y)$ is the length of the shortest path between $x$ and $y$ in the complete graph on $X$ with edge lengths $d_{c}(x, y)$; see Definition 10). Metric $d_{u}$ is our updated metric. We want $d_{u}$ to be Euclidean (as $d_{X}$ and $d_{Y}$ are), but, in general, it does not have to be Euclidean.

- Definition 6. A map from a metric space $\left(U, d_{U}\right)$ to a metric space $\left(V, d_{V}\right)$ has distortion at most $D \geq 1$ if for some $c>0$ and every $x, y \in U$,

$$
c d_{U}(x, y) \leq d_{V}(f(x), f(y)) \leq c D d_{U}(x, y)
$$

We say that a metric space $\left(U, d_{U}\right)$ is $D$-Euclidean or that it embeds into Euclidean space with distortion at most $D$, if there is an embedding $f: U \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ (for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ ) with distortion at most $D$.

We provide a sufficient condition when $d_{u}$ is Euclidean.

- Theorem 7. I. Consider a finite $D_{X}$-Euclidean metric space $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$. Let $Y$ be a subset of $X$ and $d_{Y}$ be a $D_{Y}$-Euclidean metric on $Y$. Assume that $d_{Y}(x, y) \leq C d_{X}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in Y$ (where $C \geq 1$ ). Then the updated metric $d_{u}$ (defined as the closure of $d_{c}$; see (5)) is $O\left(C D_{X} D_{Y}\right)$-Euclidean.
II. The requirement that $d_{Y}(x, y) \leq C d_{X}(x, y)$ in item I is necessary. For every $N$, there exist a 1-Euclidean metric space $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ on at most $N$ points, $Y \subset X$, and 1-Euclidean metric $d_{Y}$ on $Y$ such that every embedding of the updated metric $d_{u}$ into $\ell_{2}$ requires distortion at least $\Omega(\log N)$.


## Bi-Lipschitz extension

The bi-Lipschitz constant, i.e., distortion, of a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is the minimum $D$ such that for some $\lambda>0$ and every $x, y \in X, \lambda \cdot d_{X}(x, y) \leq d_{Y}(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda \cdot D \cdot d_{X}(x, y)$. Recall that the Bi-Lipschitz map, is a map with a bounded distortion. Recently, Mahabadi, Makarychev, Makarychev, and Razenshteyn [14] proved a bi-Lipschitz variant of the Kirszbraun theorem and showed its applications to prioritized dimension reductions.

- Theorem 8 (Kirszbraun theorem for bi-Lipschitz maps [14]). Consider $S \subset T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$ and a map $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$ with distortion at most $D$. There exists an outer extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ with distortion at most $O(D)$, where $m^{\prime}=m+n$.

This theorem follows immediately from Theorem 4 with the caveat that we do not get any bound on $m^{\prime}$ in terms of $m$ and $n$ (in particular, $m^{\prime}$ might be significantly greater than $m+n$ ). Indeed, we can assume without loss of generality that $\|f\|_{\text {Lip }}=1$ and $\|f(x)-f(y)\| \geq$ $\|x-y\| / D$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y) \geq\|x-y\| / D$. Thus for the outer extension $\tilde{f}$ from Theorem 4 (say with $\varepsilon=1 / 2$ ), we have $\Omega(\|x-y\| / D) \leq\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \leq 3 / 2\|x-y\|$; that is, $\tilde{f}$ has distortion at most $O(D)$.

## Summary

In this paper, we prove a two-sided variant of the Kirszbraun theorem. The theorem guarantees that there is a Lipschitz outer extension $\tilde{f}$ that contracts the distance of every pair of points less than any other extension (up to a constant factor) and that has asymptotically optimal parameters. Unlike the standard Kirszbraun theorem, our theorem provides a simple approximate formula for distances $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\|$. Additionally, we show an application of our theorem to the Euclidean metric update problem.

Organization. In Section 2, we introduce some notation and relevant results as well as formally state the Kirszbraun theorem. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 4. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 5. Finally, in Section 5, we prove Theorem 7.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper, $\ell_{2}^{n}$ denotes the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the standard Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$, when $n<\infty ; \ell_{2}^{\infty}=\ell_{2}$ denotes the infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. For $m<m^{\prime}$, we identify $\ell_{2}^{m}$ with the $m$-dimensional subspace of $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ spanned by the first $m$ standard basis vectors (in other words, we identify vectors $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \ell_{2}^{m}$ and $\left.\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}\right)$.

We will need the following theorem proved by Mendel and Naor [17].

- Theorem 9 (Lemma 5.2 in [17], restated). Consider space $\ell_{2}^{n}$ (where $n$ is finite or infinite). For every $r>0$, there exists a map $\psi_{r}: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \ell_{2}$ such that ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\frac{e-1}{e}} \min (\|x-y\|, \sqrt{2} r) \leq\left\|\psi_{r}(x)-\psi_{r}(y)\right\| \leq \min (\|x-y\|, \sqrt{2} r) \\
\left\|\psi_{r}(x)\right\|=r
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x, y \in \ell_{2}^{n}$.
We will consider maps $h$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to Hilbert space $\ell_{2}$ equipped with the $L_{2}$ norm:

$$
\|h\|_{L_{2}}^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\|h(t)\|^{2} d t
$$

[^3]As standard, we denote the set of all such functions whose $L_{2}$-norm is finite by $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$. We note that Hilbert space $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_{2}$.

In the proof, we will use a "bump function" $\lambda$ :
$\lambda(t)= \begin{cases}e^{-\frac{1}{1-t^{2}}}, & \text { if } t \in(-1,1) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$


We will need the following easily verifiable properties of $\lambda(t)$. Function $\lambda$ is zero outside of $(-1,1)$. It is non-negative and upper bounded by $1 / e$. Function $\lambda$ is everywhere differentiable, and its derivative $\lambda^{\prime}(t)$ is bounded by 1 in absolute value. Finally, $\lambda(t)>1 / 4$ when $t \in(-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ and $\lambda(t)>1 / 7$ when $t \in(-2 / 3,2 / 3)$.

- Definition 10 (Metric Closure). Consider a finite set of points $X$. Let d be a distance function on $X$ that does not necessarily satisfy the triangle inequality (we do assume that for all $x, y \in X:$ (i) $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$ and (ii) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ ). Denote the complete graph on $X$ with edge lengths $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $K(X, d)$. The metric closure of $d$ is the shortest path distance in $K(X, d)$.
- Theorem 11 (Kirszbraun Extension Theorem). Consider a subset $S$ of Euclidean space $\ell_{2}^{n}$, its superset $T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$, and an L-Lipschitz map $f: S \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$. Then there exists an L-Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m}$. Dimensions $m$ and $n$ can be finite or infinite.


## 3 Two-sided Kirszbraun

In this section, we prove Theorem 4 . We start with proving a simple geometric inequality (Lemma 12) and then the main lemma (Lemma 13). Theorem 4 will easily follow from Lemma 13.

Without loss of generality, we assume that set $S$ is closed. If it is not, we let $S^{\prime}$ be the closure of $S$ and extend $f$ continuously to $S^{\prime}$; then we apply the theorem to set $S^{\prime}$. If $\varepsilon=0$, the theorem immediately follows from the standard Kirszbraun theorem, so we assume that $\varepsilon>0$. Let $R_{x}=d(x, S)=\min _{y \in S}\|x-y\|$.

- Lemma 12. Let $u, v \in \ell_{2}$ be two vectors of length $r$ and $a \geq b \geq 0$. Then

$$
\max ((a-b) r, b\|u-v\|) \leq\|a u-b v\| \leq(a-b) r+b\|u-v\|
$$

Proof. First, by the triangle inequality, $\|a u-b v\| \leq\|a u-b u\|+\|b u-b v\|=(a-b)\|u\|+$ $b\|u-v\|=(a-b) r+b\|u-v\|$. Then $\|a u-b v\| \geq\|a u\|-\|b v\|=(a-b) r$. Finally, observe that $\langle u-v, u\rangle=r^{2}-r^{2} \cos \alpha \geq 0$, where $\alpha$ is the angle between $u$ and $v$. Therefore, $\langle b u-b v, a u-b u\rangle \geq 0$. We have $\|a u-b v\|^{2}=\|(b u-b v)+(a u-b u)\|^{2}=\|b u-b v\|^{2}+\| a u-$ $b u\left\|^{2}+2\langle b u-b v, a u-b u\rangle \geq\right\| b u-b v \|^{2}$.

- Lemma 13. There is a map $h: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ such that

1. $h$ maps $S$ to 0 ,
2. $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}=\Theta\left(\min \left(\|x-y\|, R_{x}+R_{y}\right)\right)$ for all $x, y \in \ell_{2}^{n}$.

Proof. Let $\psi$ be as in Theorem 9 and $\lambda$ be the bump function defined in Section 2. Define $h: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ as follows:

$$
h(x)(t)=\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right) \psi_{e^{t}}(x)
$$

Here, we assume that $\ln 0=-\infty$ and $\lambda(-\infty)=0$; in other words, $h(x)(t)=0$ if $R_{x}=0$.

Let $I_{x}=\left(\ln R_{x}-1, \ln R_{x}+1\right)$ for $x \notin S$ and $I_{x}=\varnothing$ for $x \in S$. We have the following - $h(x)(t)=0$ when $t \notin I_{x}$ (since $\lambda$ is supported on $\left.(-1,1)\right)$.

- $\|h(x)(t)\|=\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right)\left\|\psi_{e^{t}}(x)\right\|=\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right) e^{t}<e R_{x}$ when $t \in I_{x}\left(\right.$ since $\|\lambda\|_{\infty}<1$ and $\left.\left\|\psi_{e^{t}}(x)\right\|=e^{t}\right)$.

We verify the first condition: If $x \in S$, then $R_{x}=0$ and thus $h(x)=0$, as required. Now we verify the second condition. Below, we assume without loss of generality that $R_{x} \geq R_{y}$.

First, we prove the desired upper bound on $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}$ for $x, y \in \ell_{2}^{n}$. Note that if $t \notin I_{x} \cup I_{y}$, then $\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\|=0$. Further, the measure of $I_{x} \cup I_{y}$ is at most 4. For $t \in I_{x} \cup I_{y},\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\| \leq\|h(x)(t)\|+\|h(y)(t)\| \leq e\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\|^{2} d t=\int_{I_{x} \cup I_{y}}\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\|^{2} d t \leq 4 e^{2}\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)^{2}
$$

We have proved that $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}=O\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)$.
Now we show that $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}=O(\|x-y\|)$. Note that if $R_{x}>2 R_{y}$, then $\|x-y\| \geq R_{x}-R_{y}>\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right) / 3$ and therefore $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}} \leq O\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right) \leq O(\|x-y\|)$, and we are done. So we assume that $R_{x} \leq 2 R_{y}$. From Lemma 12, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| h(x)(t) & -h(y)(t) \|
\end{aligned} \quad\left\|\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right) \psi_{e^{t}}(x)-\lambda\left(\ln R_{y}-t\right) \psi_{e^{t}}(y)\right\| .
$$

We upper bound the first term using the Mean Value Theorem. Using that $\left\|\lambda^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\ln a \leq a-1$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that for some $\xi \in\left(\ln R_{y}-t, \ln R_{x}-t\right)$,

$$
\left|\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right)-\lambda\left(\ln R_{y}-t\right)\right| e^{t}=\left|\lambda^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \cdot\left|\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right)-\left(\ln R_{y}-t\right)\right| e^{t} \leq\left|\ln R_{x} / R_{y}\right| e^{t} \leq \frac{R_{x}-R_{y}}{R_{y}} e^{t}
$$

Now we upper bound the second term. By Theorem 9,

$$
\min \left(\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right), \lambda\left(\ln R_{y}-t\right)\right)\left\|\psi_{e^{t}}(x)-\psi_{e^{t}}(y)\right\| \leq O\left(\min \left(e^{t},\|x-y\|\right)\right) \leq O(\|x-y\|)
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}^{2} & =\int_{I_{x} \cup I_{y}}\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\|^{2} d t \leq 4\left(\max _{t \in I_{x} \cup I_{y}}\left(\frac{R_{x}-R_{y}}{R_{y}} e^{t}\right)+O(\|x-y\|)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq O\left(\frac{R_{x}-R_{y}}{R_{y}} \cdot R_{x}+\|x-y\|\right)^{2} \leq O\left(2\left(R_{x}-R_{y}\right)+\|x-y\|\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R_{x}-R_{y} \leq\|x-y\|$, we get that $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}=O(\|x-y\|)$.
We have proved the desired upper bound on $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}$. Now we prove the lower bound. Consider two cases.

Case 1: $\boldsymbol{R}_{x} \geq e \boldsymbol{R}_{y}$. In this case, $\ln R_{x} \geq \ln R_{y}+1$. Let $I_{x}^{+}=\left(\ln R_{x}, \ln R_{x}+1 / 2\right)$. Note that $I_{x}^{+}$does not intersect $I_{y}$ and thus $h(y)(t)=0$ for $t \in I_{x}^{+}$. Also, since $\lambda(t) \geq 1 / 4$ on $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$, we have

$$
\|h(y)(t)\|_{2}=\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right)\left\|\psi_{e^{t}}(x)\right\| \geq e^{t} / 4 \geq R_{x} / 4
$$

for $t \in I_{x}^{+}$. We have,

$$
\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \geq \int_{I_{x}^{+}}\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\|^{2} d t=\int_{I_{x}^{+}}\|h(x)(t)\|^{2} d t \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{R_{x}}{4}\right)^{2}
$$

We conclude that $\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}=\Omega\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)$.

Case 2: $\boldsymbol{R}_{x}<e \boldsymbol{R}_{y}$. In this case, $\ln R_{y} \leq \ln R_{x}<\ln R_{y}+1$. Let $J=\left(\ln R_{y}+1 / 3, \ln R_{y}+\right.$ $2 / 3)$. Then for $t \in J$, we have $\ln R_{y}-t \in(-2 / 3,-1 / 3)$ and $\ln R_{x}-t \in(-2 / 3,2 / 3)$. Therefore, $\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right) \geq 1 / 7$ and $\lambda\left(\ln R_{y}-t\right) \geq 1 / 7$. By Lemma 12, we have for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\| & =\left\|\lambda\left(\ln R_{x}-t\right) \psi_{e^{t}}(x)-\lambda\left(\ln R_{y}-t\right) \psi_{e^{t}}(y)\right\| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{7}\left\|\psi_{e^{t}}(x)-\psi_{e^{t}}(y)\right\| \geq \Omega\left(\min \left(e^{t},\|x-y\|\right)\right) \\
& \geq \Omega\left(\min \left(R_{x}+R_{y},\|x-y\|\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that the length of segment $J$ is $1 / 3$, we get

$$
\|h(x)-h(y)\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \geq \int_{J}\|h(x)(t)-h(y)(t)\|^{2} d t \geq \Omega\left(\min \left(R_{x}+R_{y},\|x-y\|\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This concludes the proof of the lower bound and the lemma.
Since $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_{2}$, we get the following corollary.

- Corollary 14. Let $T \subset \ell_{2}^{n}$. There is a map $h: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{\Delta}$, where $\Delta=O(\log |T \backslash S|)(\Delta$ is infinite if $|T \backslash S|$ is infinite) such that

1. $h$ maps $S$ to 0 ,
2. $c \min \left(\|x-y\|, R_{x}+R_{y}\right) \leq\|h(x)-h(y)\| \leq \min \left(\|x-y\|, R_{x}+R_{y}\right)$ for all $x, y \in \ell_{2}^{n}$ and some absolute constant $c>0$.

Proof. We start with a map $h_{0}: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow L\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ from Lemma 13. Since $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \ell_{2}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_{2}$, there is a map $h_{1}: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \ell_{2}$ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 13. Now if $T \backslash S$ is finite, we apply Johnson-Lindenstrauss dimension reduction $\pi_{J L}$ with distortion at most $3 / 2$ to $h_{1}(T)$ [8]. Note that $h_{1}$ maps all points in $S$ to 0 ; hence, $\left|h_{1}(T)\right| \leq 1+\left|h_{1}(T \backslash S)\right| \leq 1+|T \backslash S|$. Therefore, $\pi_{J L}$ maps $h_{1}(T \backslash S)$ to $\ell_{2}^{\Delta}$ with $\Delta=O(\log |T \backslash S|)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\pi_{J L}(0)=0$. We get map $h_{2}=\pi_{J L} \circ h_{1}$. If $T \backslash S$ is infinite, we simply let $h_{2}=h_{1}$. Finally, we rescale $h_{2}$ so that the obtained map $h$ satisfies the desired inequality:

$$
c \min \left(\|x-y\|, R_{x}+R_{y}\right) \leq\|h(x)-h(y)\| \leq \min \left(\|x-y\|, R_{x}+R_{y}\right)
$$

We are ready to prove Theorem 4. Let $g$ be the standard Kirszbraun extension of $f$ (Theorem 11) and $h$ be the map provided by Corollary 14. Note that the second condition in Corollary 14 guarantees that $h$ is 1 -Lipschitz. Define $\tilde{f}(x)=g(x) \oplus(\sqrt{\varepsilon} L) h(x) \in \ell_{2}^{m} \oplus \ell_{2}^{\Delta}$.

For $x \in S$, we have $\tilde{f}(x)=f(x) \oplus 0$; thus $\tilde{f}$ is an outer extension of $f$. Since $g$ is $L$-Lipschitz and $h$ is 1-Lipschitz,

$$
\|\tilde{f}\|_{L i p} \leq \sqrt{\|g\|_{L i p}^{2}+(\sqrt{\varepsilon} L)^{2}\|h\|_{L i p}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} L \leq(1+\varepsilon) L
$$

Finally, consider arbitrary $x, y \in \ell_{2}^{n}$. If $n$ is finite, let $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ be points in $S$ closest to $x$ and $y$, respectively (such points exist, since $S$ is closed). Then $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|=R_{x}$ and $\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\|=R_{y}$. If $n$ is infinite, let $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ be points in $S$ such that $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 2 R_{x}$ and $\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\| \leq 2 R_{y}$.

Note that $\|g(x)-g(y)\| \geq\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|-\left\|g(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|-\left\|g(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\| \geq \| f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-$ $f\left(y^{\prime}\right) \|-2 L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| & =\sqrt{\|g(x)-g(y)\|^{2}+\varepsilon L^{2}\|h(x)-h(y)\|^{2}} \\
& \geq \max (\|g(x)-g(y)\|, \sqrt{\varepsilon} L\|h(x)-h(y)\|) \\
& \geq \max \left(\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|-2 L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right), c \sqrt{\varepsilon} L \min \left(\|x-y\|, R_{x}+R_{y}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\|x-y\| \leq R_{x}+R_{y}$, then we get that $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq c \sqrt{\varepsilon} L\|x-y\| \geq c \sqrt{\varepsilon} d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)$ and we are done. So we assume now that $\|x-y\| \geq R_{x}+R_{y}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y) & \leq \inf _{a, b \in S}(L\|x-a\|+\|f(a)-f(b)\|+L\|x-a\|) \\
& \leq L\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|+L\left\|y^{\prime}-y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|+2 L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| & \geq \max \left(\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|-2 L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right), c \sqrt{\varepsilon} L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{(c \sqrt{\varepsilon} / 4) \cdot\left(\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|-2 L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)\right)+1 \cdot\left(c \sqrt{\varepsilon} L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)\right)}{c \sqrt{\varepsilon} / 4+1} \\
& =\frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{4+c \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\left\|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\|+2 L\left(R_{x}+R_{y}\right)\right) \geq \frac{c \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{4+c \sqrt{\varepsilon}} d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 5

In this section, we prove Theorem 5. To prove item 1 , consider 4 points in the plane: $x=(0,0), y=(0, \sqrt{2}), u=(1,0)$, and $v=(1, \sqrt{2})$. Let $f$ be the map that sends $x, y, u$, and $v$ to $x^{\prime}=(0,0), y^{\prime}=(1,1), u^{\prime}=(1,0)$, and $v^{\prime}=(0,1)$. It is easy to see that $f$ is 1 -Lipschitz. Now let $z_{1}=(x+y) / 2$ and $z_{2}=(u+v) / 2$. Note that $\left\|x-z_{1}\right\|=\left\|y-z_{1}\right\|=\left\|u-z_{2}\right\|=$ $\left\|v-z_{2}\right\|=\sqrt{2} / 2$ and $d_{\mathrm{ub}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=1$.


Consider a $(1+\varepsilon)$-Lipschitz proper or outer extension $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ to $\left\{x, y, u, v, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$. Let $z_{1}^{\prime}=\tilde{f}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $z_{2}^{\prime}=\tilde{f}\left(z_{2}\right)$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is $(1+\varepsilon)$-Lipschitz, we have $\left\|x^{\prime}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq(1+\varepsilon)^{2} / 2$ and $\left\|y^{\prime}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq(1+\varepsilon)^{2} / 2$. Hence

$$
\left\|\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left\|x^{\prime}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y^{\prime}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\left\|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{4} \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{2} / 2+(1+\varepsilon)^{2} / 2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}=\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}
$$

Therefore, $\left\|\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right\|=O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Similarly, $\left\|\frac{u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}}{2}-z_{2}^{\prime}\right\|=O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Since $\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}}{2}$, we have $\left\|z_{1}^{\prime}-z_{2}^{\prime}\right\|=O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})=O\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} d_{\mathrm{ub}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, as required.

Now we prove item 2. Consider sets $S=\left\{\kappa e_{1}: 1 \leq \kappa \leq N\right\}$ and $S^{\prime}=\left\{(\kappa+1 / 2) e_{1}: 1 \leq\right.$ $\kappa \leq N\}$ in $\ell_{2}^{n}$ (where $e_{1}$ is the first standard basis vector in $\ell_{2}^{n}$ ). Let $T=S \cup S^{\prime}$.

$0 \in \ell_{2}^{m}$

Consider map $f$ that sends $S$ to 0 in $\ell_{2}^{m}$. Trivially, map $f$ is 1 -Lipschitz. We have, $d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)=\min (\|x-y\|, 1 / 2+0+1 / 2)=1$ for every distinct $x, y \in S^{\prime}$. Consider an $L$ Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ such that $\|\tilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y)\| \geq c d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)$. Since every point $x$ in $S^{\prime}$ is at distance $1 / 2$ from some point in $S, \tilde{f}$ maps all points in $S^{\prime}$ to a ball of radius $L / 2$ around 0 in $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$. The distance between the images of every two points is at least $c d_{\mathrm{ub}}(x, y)=c$. Therefore, $\tilde{f}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is a $c$-separated set in a ball of radius $L / 2$ in $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$. We apply a standard argument to bound the size of $f\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. Ball of radius $c / 2$ around points in $\tilde{f}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ are mutually disjoint and lie in a ball of radius $(L+c) / 2$. Thus, the number of points in $\tilde{f}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ by the ratio of the volumes of balls of radius $(L+c) / 2$ and $c / 2$. That is,

$$
N=\left|\tilde{f}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{L+c}{c}\right)^{m^{\prime}}
$$

It follows that $m^{\prime} \geq \frac{\log _{e} N}{\log _{e}(L / c+1)}$, as required.
Finally, observe that item 3 follows from item 2 if we let $N=\infty$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 7

In this section, we prove Theorem 7.
Proof of part I. We first assume that $C=1$ and then consider the general case when $C \geq 1$ is arbitrary. Let $g$ and $h$ be embeddings of $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, d_{Y}\right)$ into Euclidean spaces $\ell_{2}^{n}$ and $\ell_{2}^{m}$ with distortions at most $D_{X}$ and $D_{Y}$, respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $g$ and $h$ are 1-Lipschitz and that they do not contract distances by more than $D_{X}$ and $D_{Y}$, respectively. Define map $h^{\prime}$ as $h^{\prime}(y)=h(y) / D_{X}$. Let $S=g(Y)$ and $T=g(X)$. Consider map $f$ that sends $u \in S$ to $h^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(u)\right)$.


Note that $f$ is 1 -Lipschitz, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(u)-f(v)\| & =\left\|h^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(u)\right)-h^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}(u)\right)\right\| \leq\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{L i p} \cdot d_{Y}\left(g^{-1}(u), g^{-1}(v)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\|h\|_{L i p}}{D_{X}} \cdot d_{X}\left(g^{-1}(u), g^{-1}(v)\right) \leq \frac{1}{D_{X}} \cdot D_{X}\|u-v\|=\|u-v\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $x, y \in X$. We show that $\frac{d_{u}(x, y)}{D_{X} D_{Y}} \leq d_{\mathrm{ub}}(g(x), g(y)) \leq d_{u}(x, y)$ where $d_{u}$ is the updated metric on $X$, and $d_{u b}$ is with respect to the map $f$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathrm{ub}}(g(x), g(y)) & =\min \left(\|g(x)-g(y)\|, \inf _{a, b \in Y}\left(\|g(x)-g(a)\|+\left\|h^{\prime}(a)-h^{\prime}(b)\right\|+\|g(b)-g(y)\|\right)\right) \\
& \leq \min \left(d_{X}(x, y), \inf _{a, b \in Y}\left(d_{X}(x, a)+\frac{d_{Y}(a, b)}{D_{X}}+d_{X}(b, y)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \min \left(d_{c}(x, y), \inf _{a, b \in Y}\left(d_{c}(x, a)+d_{c}(a, b)+d_{c}(b, y)\right)\right)=d_{u}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where to get the last inequality, we need to do case analysis on the four cases given by whether $x \in Y$ or $x \notin Y$; and $y \in Y$ or $y \notin Y$. The last equality follows by considering the shortest path in $d_{c}$ between $x$ and $y$ (of weight $d_{u}(x, y)$ ), and doing simple case analysis using the fact that $C=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathrm{ub}}(g(x), g(y)) & =\min \left(\|g(x)-g(y)\|, \inf _{a, b \in Y}\left(\|g(x)-g(a)\|+\left\|h^{\prime}(a)-h^{\prime}(b)\right\|+\|g(b)-g(y)\|\right)\right) \\
& \geq \min \left(\frac{d_{X}(x, y)}{D_{X}}, \inf _{a, b \in Y}\left(\frac{d_{X}(x, a)}{D_{X}}+\frac{d_{Y}(a, b)}{D_{Y} D_{X}}+\frac{d_{X}(b, y)}{D_{Y}}\right)\right) \geq \frac{d_{u}(x, y)}{D_{X} D_{Y}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 4, there exists an $3 / 2$-Lipschitz extension $\tilde{f}: T \rightarrow \ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ of $f$ such that $\|\tilde{f}(u)-\tilde{f}(v)\|=\Theta\left(d_{\mathrm{ub}}(u, v)\right)$ for $u, v \in T$. Consider $\Phi=\tilde{f} \circ g$, which maps $X$ to $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$. We obtain

$$
\Omega\left(\frac{d_{u}(x, y)}{D_{X} D_{Y}}\right) \leq\|\Phi(x)-\Phi(y)\| \leq O\left(d_{u}(x, y)\right)
$$

We conclude that $X$ equipped with the updated metric $d_{u}$ embeds into $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ with distortion at most $O\left(D_{X} D_{Y}\right)$.

To get the result for an arbitrary $C \geq 1$, we consider metric $d_{Y}^{\prime}$ defined by $d_{Y}^{\prime}(x, y)=$ $d_{Y}(x, y) / C$. Note that $d_{Y}^{\prime}$ is also $D_{Y}$-Euclidean. Additionally, $d_{Y}^{\prime}(x, y) \leq d_{X}(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in Y$. Thus the updated metric $d_{u}^{\prime}$ for $d_{X}$ and $d_{Y}^{\prime}$ is $O\left(D_{X} D_{Y}\right)$-Lipschitz. Finally, we note that $d_{u}^{\prime}(x, y) \leq d_{u}(x, y) \leq C d_{u}^{\prime}(x, y)$ and hence metric $d_{u}$ is $O\left(C D_{X} D_{Y}\right)$-Euclidean.

Proof of part II. Let $p$ be the largest prime number such that $2 p \leq N$. We construct an expander $G=(V, E)$ on $p$ vertices, which is a union of two Hamiltonian paths (the paths are not necessarily disjoint). We note that any such expander will work, but we will describe one to be more specific. Let $V=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}, E_{1}=\{(i, i+1): i \in\{0, \ldots, p-2\}$, and $E_{2}^{\prime}=\{(i, j): i \cdot j=1, i \neq j$ where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\}$ (where the product $i \cdot j$ is computed in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$. Observe that $E_{2}^{\prime}$ is a partial matching, so we can choose a set of edges $E_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $E_{2}^{\prime} \cup E_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a path visiting all vertices in $V$ once. Now, $G=\left(V, E_{1} \cup E_{2}^{\prime} \cup E_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) ; P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are Hamiltonian paths with edge sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}=E_{2}^{\prime} \cup E_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. Graph $\left(V, E_{1} \cup E_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is an expander (see [13] or Construction 4.26 in [18]) and thus so is $G$. Denote the shortest path distance in $G$ by $d_{G}$.

Now, for every $i \in V$, we create two points $u_{i}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $X=\left\{u_{i}, u_{i}^{\prime}: i \in V\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{u_{i}^{\prime}: i \in V\right\}$. Consider path $P_{2}$ and one of its endpoints. Let $\pi(i)$ be the distance from vertex $u_{i}$ to this endpoint along $P_{2}$. Let $\varepsilon=1 / p$. Now we define distances $d_{X}$ and $d_{Y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{X}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=d_{X}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=|i-j| \text { and } d_{X}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{|i-j|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}, \\
& d_{Y}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=|\pi(i)-\pi(j)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the value of $|i-j|$ is computed in $\mathbb{Z}$, not in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, d_{Y}\right)$ embed into $\ell_{2}^{2}$ and $\ell_{2}^{1}=\mathbb{R}$ isometrically. Indeed, the map that sends $u_{i}$ to $(i, 0)$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}$ to $(i, \varepsilon)$ is an isometric embedding of $X$ into the Euclidean plane; the map that sends $u_{i}^{\prime}$ to $\pi(i) \in \mathbb{R}$ is an isometric embedding of $Y$ into the real line. Now consider the combined and updated metrics, $d_{c}$ and $d_{u}$, on $X$. We have,

- $d_{c}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=d_{X}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=|i-j| \geq d_{G}(i, j)$;
- $d_{c}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=d_{X}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{|i-j|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}>d_{G}(i, j)$
- $d_{c}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=d_{Y}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=|\pi(i)-\pi(j)| \geq d_{G}(i, j)$.

Let us derive lower and upper bounds on $d_{u}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$ in terms of $d_{G}(i, j)$. Fix some $i$ and $j$. Denote the complete graph on $X$ with edge lengths $d_{c}(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$; denote the complete graph on $V$ with edge lengths $d_{G}(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $K\left(V, d_{G}\right)$. Note that the shortest path distance in $K\left(V, d_{G}\right)$ equals $d_{G}$.

By definition, $d_{u}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$ is the shortest path distance between $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$. Consider a shortest path between $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$ and its "projection" to $K\left(V, d_{G}\right)$, which we obtain as follows. We replace each vertex on the path with a corresponding vertex in $V$ : namely, we replace $u_{a}$ and $u_{a}^{\prime}$ with $a$. We get a path from $i$ to $j$ in $K\left(V, d_{G}\right)$; its length is at least $d_{G}(i, j)$. Since $d_{c}\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right), d_{c}\left(u_{a}^{\prime}, u_{b}\right)$, and $d_{c}\left(u_{a}^{\prime}, u_{b}^{\prime}\right)$ are all greater than or equal to $d_{G}(a, b)$, the length of the projected path in $K\left(V, d_{G}\right)$ is at most the length of the path between $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$. Therefore, the shortest path distance between $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$ is at least $d_{G}(i, j)$. We conclude that $d_{u}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right) \geq d_{G}(i, j)$.

Now consider a shortest path $P$ between $i$ and $j$ in $G$. We "lift" it to $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$ as follows. We replace each edge $(a, b) \in E_{1}$ of $P$ with edge $\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right)$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$ and each edge $(a, b) \in E_{2} \backslash E_{1}$ with edge $\left(u_{a}^{\prime}, u_{b}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that this transformation preserves edge lengths; all of these edges have length 1 . We obtain a sequence of edges in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$, which does not necessarily form a path, since it may happen that one edge ends at $u_{a}$ and the next one starts at $u_{a}^{\prime}$ (or vice versa). We transform it to a path between $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$ by adding edges of the form $\left(u_{a}, u_{a}^{\prime}\right)$ where necessary. The length of the lifted path equals the length of $P$, which is $d_{G}(i, j)$, plus the length of all the additional edges $\left(u_{a}, u_{a}^{\prime}\right)$. Each of the additional edges has length $\varepsilon$ and there are at most $p$ of them. We conclude that there is a path of length at most $d_{G}(i, j)+1$ between $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ in $K\left(X, d_{c}\right)$. Thus $d_{u}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right) \leq d_{G}(i, j)+1$.

We proved that $d_{u}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\Theta\left(d_{G}(i, j)\right)$. Because $G$ is an expander, every embedding of $\left(V, d_{G}\right)$ into Euclidean space requires distortion $\Omega(\log N)$ [11]. Therefore, every embedding of $\left(X \backslash Y, d_{u}\right)$ and, consequently, of $\left(X, d_{u}\right)$ into $\ell_{2}$ also requires distortion at least $\Omega(\log N)$.

[^4]9 Mojzesz D. Kirszbraun. Über die zusammenziehende und Lipschitzsche Transformationen. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 22:77-108, 1934.
10 James R Lee and Assaf Naor. Extending Lipschitz functions via random metric partitions. Inventiones mathematicae, 160(1):59-95, 2005.
11 Nathan Linial, Eran London, and Yuri Rabinovich. The geometry of graphs and some of its algorithmic applications. Combinatorica, 15(2):215-245, 1995.
12 Steven H Low. Analytical methods for network congestion control. Synthesis Lectures on Communication Networks, 10(1):1-213, 2017.
13 Alexander Lubotzky, Ralph Phillips, and Peter Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. Combinatorica, 8(3):261-277, 1988.
14 Sepideh Mahabadi, Konstantin Makarychev, Yury Makarychev, and Ilya Razenshteyn. Nonlinear dimension reduction via outer bi-Lipschitz extensions. In Proceedings of the Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 1088-1101, 2018.
15 Konstantin Makarychev and Yury Makarychev. A union of Euclidean metric spaces is Euclidean. Discrete Analysis, 14, 2016.
16 Konstantin Makarychev, Yury Makarychev, and Ilya Razenshteyn. Performance of JohnsonLindenstrauss transform for $k$-means and $k$-medians clustering. In Proceedings of the Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 1027-1038, 2019.
17 Manor Mendel and Assaf Naor. Euclidean quotients of finite metric spaces. Advances in Mathematics, 189(2):451-494, 2004.
18 Salil P. Vadhan. Pseudorandomness. Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science, $7(1-3): 1-336,2012$.


[^0]:    1 We denote $d$-dimensional Euclidean space by $\ell_{2}^{d}$; i.e., $\ell_{2}^{d}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with the standard Euclidean distance.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ That is, if $m$ is finite, we identify $\ell_{2}^{m}$ with the subspace of $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$ spanned by the first $m$ basis vectors and allow $\tilde{f}$ to take values in $\ell_{2}^{m^{\prime}}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Standard Kirszbraun extensions can be also computed using quadratically constrained quadratic programming (QCQP) or the multiplicative weight update method (MWU) [3].

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The specific constants $\frac{e-1}{e}$ and $\sqrt{2}$ do not appear in the statement of Lemma 5.2 in [17], but can be easily deduced from the proof. Note that there is a typo on the last line of the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [17]: $D$ should be replaced with $\sqrt{2} D$ both in the lower and upper bounds for $\|F(x)-F(y)\|_{2}$.
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