## Dimension Reduction

Computational and Metric Geometry

## Instructor: Yury Makarychev

## **1** Dimension Reduction

**Theorem 1.1** (Johnson—Lindenstruass Lemma). Consider a finite metric subspace  $X \subset \ell_2^N$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ , n = |X|, and  $d > C \ln n/\varepsilon^2$  (where C is a sufficiently large absolute constant). Then there exists an embedding  $\varphi$  of X into  $\ell_2^d$  s.t.

$$(1-\varepsilon) \le \frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\|}{\|x - y\|_2} \le (1+\varepsilon).$$
(1)

(that is, the embedding  $\varphi$  is "almost" isometric). Moreover, we can find such embedding in randomized polynomial time.

*Proof.* We show that the algorithm presented below finds the desired embedding with probability that tends to 1 as n tends to  $\infty$ .

## **Dimension Reduction Algorithm**

**Input:** A metric space  $X \subset \ell_2^N$ . **Output:** An embedding  $\varphi$  of X into  $\ell_2^d$ .

- 1. Choose a random  $d \times N$  matrix  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$ , whose entries  $\gamma_{ij}$  are i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables,  $\gamma_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
- 2. Define  $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \Gamma x$  for every  $x \in X$ .
- 3. Return embedding  $\varphi$ .

Consider a pair of points x and y in X. Our plan is to prove that

 $p_{xy} \equiv \Pr(\text{Inequality (1) does not hold for } x \text{ and } y) \leq 1/n^4.$ 

Once we establish this bound, the theorem will follow since the probability that Inequality (1) does not hold for some pair  $x, y \in X$  is at most  $\sum_{x,y \in X} p_{xy} \leq n^2 \cdot (1/n^4) = 1/n^2$  by the union bound.

We now prove that  $p_{xy} \leq 1/n^4$ . Denote  $z = (x - y)/||x - y||_2$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\|_{2}^{2} &= \frac{\|\Gamma x - \Gamma y\|_{2}^{2}}{d} = \frac{\|\Gamma(x - y)\|_{2}^{2}}{d} = \frac{\|x - y\|^{2} \|\Gamma z\|_{2}^{2}}{d} \\ &= \frac{\|x - y\|^{2}}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{ij} z_{j}\right)^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} g_{i}^{2}}{d} \|x - y\|^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_i = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j \gamma_{ij}$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\|_2^2}{\|x - y\|^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d g_i^2}{d}.$$

Note that each  $g_i$  is a sum of scaled Gaussian random variables, and hence  $g_i$  is a Gaussian random variable. Let us compute the mean and variance of  $g_i$ .

$$\mathbb{E}g_i = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^N z_j \gamma_{ij}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j \mathbb{E}\left[\gamma_{ij}\right] = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{Var}\left[g_i\right] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{j=1}^N z_j \gamma_{ij}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^2 \operatorname{Var}\left[\gamma_{ij}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^2 = ||z||_2^2 = 1$$

That is,  $g_1, \ldots, g_d$  are i.i.d. random variables distributed as  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

It remains to prove the following lemma (note that  $1 - \varepsilon > (1 - \varepsilon)^2$  and  $1 + \varepsilon < (1 + \varepsilon)^2$ ).

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $g_1, \ldots, g_d$  be *i.i.d.* standard Gaussian random variables, where  $d > C \ln n / \varepsilon^2$ . Then

$$\Pr\left(-\varepsilon d \le \sum_{i=1}^{d} g_i^2 - d \le \varepsilon d\right) \ge 1 - 1/n^4.$$

**Exercise 1.** The random variable  $\sum_{i=1}^{d} g_i^2$  has the chi-square distribution with d degrees of freedom, with density  $\frac{1}{2^{d/2}\Gamma(d/2)} x^{d/2-1} e^{-x/2}$  (where  $\Gamma(t)$  is the gamma function). Use this fact to directly estimate the desired probability and prove the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Denote  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{d} g_i^2 - d$ . Consider the random variable  $e^{(1-\alpha^2)T/2}$  (where  $\alpha > 0$  is some number). Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)g_i^2/2}\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(1-\alpha^2)t^2/2} e^{-t^2/2} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha^2 t^2/2} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{(\alpha t)^2/2} \frac{d(\alpha t)}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)T/2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\sum_{i=1}^d g_i^2}\right] \cdot e^{-d(1-\alpha^2)/2} = e^{-d(1-\alpha^2)/2} \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)g_i^2/2}\right] = \frac{e^{-d(1-\alpha^2)/2}}{\alpha^d}.$$

Let  $\alpha = 1 + \delta$ , where  $\delta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$  (we will fix  $\delta$  later). Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)T/2}\right] = \frac{e^{-d(1-\alpha^2)/2}}{\alpha^d} = e^{\delta d + d\delta^2/2 - d\ln(1+\delta)}.$$

By Taylor's theorem,  $\ln(1+\delta) = \delta + R_1(\delta)$ , where  $|R_1(\delta)| \le \frac{|\delta|^2}{2} \cdot \max_{t \in (-1/2, 1/2)|} |(\ln(1+t))''| = \frac{|\delta|^2}{2} \max_{t \in (-1/2, 1/2)} \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} = 2\delta^2$  for all  $\delta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)T/2}\right] \le e^{3|\delta|^2 d}.$$

We now use the Chebyshev inequality to bound  $\Pr(T > \varepsilon d)$ . For  $\delta < 0$  and  $\alpha < 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)T/2}\right] \ge e^{(1-\alpha^2)\varepsilon d/2} \cdot \Pr\left(T > \varepsilon d\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\Pr\left(T > \varepsilon d\right) \le e^{3\delta^2 d} e^{\delta \varepsilon d + \delta^2 \varepsilon d/2} = e^{\varepsilon \delta d(1 + \delta/2 + 3\delta/\varepsilon)}$$

We let  $\delta = -\varepsilon/6$  and get  $\Pr(T > \varepsilon d) \le e^{-\varepsilon^2 d/18} < 1/(2n^4)$  if C > 90 (recall that  $d > \frac{C \ln n}{\varepsilon^2}$ ). Similarly, we bound  $\Pr(T < -\varepsilon d)$ . For  $\delta > 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(1-\alpha^2)T/2}\right] \ge e^{(\alpha^2-1)\varepsilon d/2} \cdot \Pr\left(T < -\varepsilon d\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\Pr\left(T < -\varepsilon d\right) \le e^{3\delta^2 d} e^{-\delta\varepsilon d - \delta^2\varepsilon d/2} \le e^{-\varepsilon\delta d(1 - 3\delta/\varepsilon)}.$$

We let  $\delta = \varepsilon/6$  and get  $\Pr(T < -\varepsilon d) \le e^{-\varepsilon^2 d/12} < 1/(2n^4)$  if C > 60. We conclude that  $\Pr(|T| > \varepsilon d) < 1/n^4$  if C > 90.

**Remark 1.3.** The algorithm we presented in this note runs in polynomial time but is relatively slow. In fact, the embedding  $\varphi$  can be computed very efficiently using the *Fast Johnson–Lindenstrauss Transform*, which was introduced recently by Ailon and Chazelle. For more information, see N. Ailon and B. Chazelle. Faster dimension reduction. Commun. ACM 53(2): 97-104 (2010) and N. Ailon, E. Liberty. Almost optimal unrestricted fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform. CoRR, abs/1005.5513.