

Metric and Normed Spaces II, Bourgain's Theorem

Computational and Metric Geometry

Instructor: Yury Makarychev

1 Notation

Given a metric space (X, d) and $S \subset X$, the distance from $x \in X$ to S equals

$$d(x, S) = \inf_{s \in S} d(x, s).$$

The distance between two sets $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ equals

$$d(S_1, S_2) = \inf_{s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2} d(s_1, s_2).$$

Exercise 1. Show that distances between sets do not necessarily satisfy the triangle inequality. That is, it is possible that $d(S_1, S_2) + d(S_2, S_3) > d(S_1, S_3)$ for some sets S_1, S_2 and S_3 .

Exercise 2. Prove that $d(x, y) \geq d(S, x) - d(S, y)$ and thus $d(x, y) \geq |d(S, x) - d(S, y)|$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $y' \in S$ be such that $d(y', y) \leq d(S, y) + \varepsilon$ (if S is a finite set, there is $y' \in S$ s.t. $d(y, y') = d(S, y)$). Then

$$d(x, S) \leq d(x, y') \leq d(x, y) + d(y, y') \leq d(x, y) + d(S, y) + \varepsilon.$$

We proved that $d(x, S) \leq d(x, y) + d(S, y) + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore,

$$d(x, S) \leq d(x, y) + d(S, y).$$

□

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x_0 \in X$ and $r > 0$. The (closed) ball of radius r around x_0 is

$$B_r(x_0) = \text{Ball}_r(x_0) = \{x : d(x, x_0) \leq r\}.$$

2 Metric Embeddings of Normed Spaces

Consider two normed spaces $(U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ and $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$. Let f be a linear map between U and V . What is the Lipschitz norm of f ? It is equal

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|_V}{\|x - y\|_U} \stackrel{\text{by linearity of } f}{=} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|f(x - y)\|_V}{\|x - y\|_U} = \sup_{\substack{z \in U \\ z \neq 0}} \frac{\|f(z)\|_V}{\|z\|_U}.$$

Definition 2.1. *The operator norm of f is*

$$\|f\| \equiv \|f\|_{U \rightarrow V} = \sup_{\substack{z \in U \\ z \neq 0}} \frac{\|f(z)\|_V}{\|z\|_U}.$$

The above computation shows that the Lipschitz norm of a linear operator equals its operator norm.

Let U and V be two d -dimensional normed spaces. The Banach-Mazur distance between them is

$$d_{BM}(U, V) = \min_{\varphi: U \rightarrow V} \|\varphi\| \|\varphi^{-1}\|,$$

where the minimum is over non-degenerate linear maps $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$

Exercise 3. *Consider two normed spaces U and V . Let B_U and B_V be their unit balls. Prove that there exists a linear map φ such that $B_V \subseteq \varphi(B_U) \subseteq \alpha B_V$ where $\alpha = d_{BM}(U, V)$. Further, if $B_V \subseteq \varphi(B_U) \subseteq \alpha B_V$ for some α then $d_{BM}(U, V) \leq \alpha$.*

The Banach-Mazur distance is a distance in the following sense.

Claim 2.2. *The Banach-Mazur distance satisfies the following properties.*

- $d_{BM}(U, U) = 1$
- $d_{BM}(U, V) \geq 1$
- $d_{BM}(U, V) \cdot d_{BM}(V, W) \geq d_{BM}(U, W)$

Theorem 2.3. $d_{BM}(\ell_p^d, \ell_2^d) = d^{|1/p - 1/2|}$

Proof. First we observe that $d_{BM}(\ell_p^d, \ell_2^d) \leq d^{|1/p - 1/2|}$. Indeed, let us consider the identity map between ℓ_p^d and ℓ_2^d and upper bound its distortion. If $p \in [1, 2]$, we have $\|a\|_2 \leq \|a\|_p \leq d^{1/p - 1/2} \|a\|_2$. Thus the identity map from $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_p)$ to $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_2)$ has distortion at most $d^{1/p - 1/2}$. Similarly, if $p \in [2, \infty]$, we have $\|a\|_p \leq \|a\|_2 \leq d^{1/2 - 1/p} \|a\|_p$. Thus the identity map from $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_p)$ to $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_2)$ has distortion at most $d^{1/2 - 1/p}$.

Discussion Now we need to prove that every linear map $\varphi : \ell_p^d \rightarrow \ell_2^d$ has distortion at least $d^{1/2-1/p}$. Consider the hypercube $C = \{-1, 1\}^d \subset \ell_p^d$. We will prove that even restricted to C , φ has distortion at least $d^{1/2-1/p}$. To gain some intuition, assume that $p = 1$ and $\varphi = id$. How does φ distort the distances between the vertices of the hypercube?

- $\varphi = id$ preserves the lengths of the edges of C : if $u, v \in C$ differ in exactly one coordinate then $\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2 = \|u - v\|_1 = 2$. Therefore, $\|\varphi\| \geq \frac{\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2}{\|u - v\|_1} \geq 1$.
- φ contracts the diagonals of C by a factor of \sqrt{d} : for $u \in C$ and $u' = -u$, we have $\|u - u'\|_1 = 2d$ and $\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(u')\|_2 = 2\sqrt{d}$. Therefore, $\|\varphi^{-1}\| \geq \frac{\|u - u'\|_1}{\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(u')\|_2} \geq \sqrt{d}$.

We see that the distortion of φ is at least $\|\varphi\| \cdot \|\varphi^{-1}\| \geq 1 \cdot \sqrt{d} = \sqrt{d}$.

Now consider an arbitrary non-degenerate linear map φ and arbitrary $p \in [1, \infty]$. The example above suggests that we should examine how φ distorts edges and diagonals of C . However, it is not sufficient to look at a single edge or single diagonal. Instead, we compute how φ distorts edges and diagonals on average. First, we look at the edges. Choose a random coordinate $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ uniformly at random. Then independently choose a random vertex u of C uniformly at random. Let $v \in C$ be the vertex that differs from u only in coordinate i . Then $u - v = 2e_i$ or $u - v = -2e_i$. We have $\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\| \cdot \|u - v\|_p$ (always). Therefore,

$$\|\varphi\|^2 \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2^2}{\|u - v\|_p^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\|2\varphi(e_i)\|_2^2}{\|2e_i\|_p^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} [\|\varphi(e_i)\|_2^2] = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d \|\varphi(e_j)\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

Similarly, $\|u - v\|_p \leq \|\varphi^{-1}\| \cdot \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2$ and thus $\mathbb{E} [\|u - v\|_p^2] \leq \|\varphi^{-1}\|^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} [\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2^2]$. We have,

$$\|\varphi^{-1}\|^2 \geq \frac{\mathbb{E} [\|u - v\|_p^2]}{\mathbb{E} [\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\|_2^2]} = \frac{\mathbb{E} [\|2e_i\|_p^2]}{\mathbb{E} [\|2\varphi(e_i)\|_2^2]} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} [\|\varphi(e_i)\|_2^2]} = \frac{d}{\sum_{j=1}^d \|\varphi(e_j)\|_2^2}. \quad (2)$$

Now let u be a random vertex of C and $u' = -u$. Note that all coordinates u_1, \dots, u_d of u are i.i.d. Bernoulli $\{\pm 1\}$ random variables. Also, $u = \sum_{j=1}^d u_j e_j$ and therefore $\varphi(u) = \sum_{j=1}^d u_j \varphi(e_j)$. We write,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\|\varphi(u)\|_2^2] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d u_j \varphi(e_j) \right\|_2^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{1 \leq j, j' \leq d} \langle u_j \varphi(e_j), u_{j'} \varphi(e_{j'}) \rangle \right] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j, j' \leq d} \mathbb{E} [u_j u_{j'}] \cdot \langle \varphi(e_j), \varphi(e_{j'}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since all random variable u_1, \dots, u_d are independent, $\mathbb{E} [u_j] = 0$, and $u_j^2 = 1$ (always), we have

$$\mathbb{E} [u_j u_{j'}] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = j' \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\varphi(u)\|_2^2] = \sum_{j=1}^d \|\varphi(e_j)\|_2^2.$$

As above, we have

$$\|\varphi\|^2 \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(u')\|_2^2}{\|u - u'\|_p^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\|2\varphi(u)\|_2^2}{\|2u\|_p^2} \right] = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \|\varphi(e_j)\|_2^2}{d^{2/p}}. \quad (3)$$

Similarly,

$$\|\varphi^{-1}\|^2 \geq \frac{d^{2/p}}{\sum_{j=1}^d \|\varphi(e_j)\|_2^2}. \quad (4)$$

If $p \in [1, 2]$, multiplying inequalities (1) and (4), we get $\|\varphi\|^2 \|\varphi^{-1}\|^2 \geq \frac{d^{2/p}}{d} = d^{2/p-1}$. Thus, the distortion of φ is at least $d^{1/p-1/2}$, as required. If $p \in [2, \infty]$, multiplying inequalities (2) and (3), we get $\|\varphi\|^2 \|\varphi^{-1}\|^2 \geq \frac{d}{d^{2/p}} = d^{1-2/p}$. Thus, the distortion of φ is at least $d^{1/2-1/p}$, as required. □

Using Claim 2.2, we get the following corollary from Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. *We have,*

- $d_{BM}(\ell_p^d, \ell_q^d) = d^{1/p-1/q}$ if $p, q \in [1, 2]$
- $d_{BM}(\ell_p^d, \ell_q^d) = d^{1/p-1/q}$ if $p, q \in [2, \infty]$

Fact 2.5. $d_{BM}(\ell_p^d, \ell_q^d) = \Theta(d^{\max(1/p-1/2, 1/2-1/q)})$ if $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$.

One can ask if there is a *non-linear* bijection between ℓ_p^d and ℓ_q^d with a smaller distortion. The answer is negative. We omit the details here. However, one way to prove this is as follows. Consider a non-linear map $\varphi : \ell_p^d \rightarrow \ell_q^d$ with distortion D . Note that φ is Lipschitz (as otherwise, it would have an infinite distortion). By Rademacher's theorem, every Lipschitz map from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^d is differentiable almost everywhere. Let x be any point where φ is differentiable. Consider the differential of $d_x \varphi$ of φ at x . It is not hard to verify that linear map $\psi = d_x \varphi : \ell_p^d \rightarrow \ell_q^d$ has distortion at most D .

Fact 2.6 (John Ellipsoid or Löwner–John Ellipsoid). *For every convex centrally-symmetric set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that contains a neighborhood of the origin, there exists an ellipsoid \mathcal{E} centered at the origin such that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq S \subseteq \sqrt{d} \cdot \mathcal{E}$. Specifically, one may choose (a) \mathcal{E} to be the maximum volume ellipsoid inside S or (b) $\sqrt{d} \cdot \mathcal{E}$ to be the minimum volume ellipsoid containing S .*

Equivalently, let $\|\cdot\|$ be an arbitrary norm in \mathbb{R}^d . Then $d_{BM}((\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|), \ell_2^d) \leq \sqrt{d}$.

3 Bourgain's Theorem

Definition 3.1. Let X be a finite metric space and $p \geq 1$. Suppose that $Z \neq \emptyset$ is a random subset of X (chosen according to some probability distribution). For every $u \in X$, define random variable $\xi_u = d(u, Z) = \min_{z \in Z} d(u, z)$. Consider the map f from X to the space of random variables $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that sends u to ξ_u (where Ω is the probability space and μ is the probability measure on Ω). We say that f is a Fréchet embedding.

Lemma 3.2. Every Fréchet embedding f is non-expanding. That is, $\|f\|_{Lip} \leq 1$.

Proof. Consider a Fréchet embedding that sends u to $\xi_u = d(u, Z)$. For every $u, v \in X$, we have

$$\|\xi_u - \xi_v\|_p = (\mathbb{E} [|d(u, Z) - d(v, Z)|^p])^{1/p} \stackrel{\text{by Exercise 2}}{\leq} (\mathbb{E} [|d(u, v)|^p])^{1/p} = d(u, v).$$

□

Remark 3.3. If X is infinite, then the random variable $\xi_u = d(u, Z)$ does not necessarily belong to $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ (its p -norm might be infinite). However, we can define $\tilde{\xi}_u$ as $\tilde{\xi}_u = d(u, Z) - d(x_0, Z)$, where x_0 is some point in X . Then the proof of Lemma 3.2 shows that $\|\tilde{\xi}_u\|_p \leq d(u, x_0) < \infty$ and the map $f : u \mapsto \tilde{\xi}_u$ is non-expanding.

Theorem 3.4 (Bourgain's Theorem). Every metric space X on n points embeds into $L_p(X, \mu)$ with distortion $O(\log n)$ (for every $p \geq 1$). That is, $c_p(X) = O(\log n)$.

Proof. Let $l = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$. Construct a random set Z as follows.

- Choose s uniformly at random from $\{1, \dots, l\}$.
- Initially, let $Z = \emptyset$.
- Add every point of X to Z with probability $1/2^s$, independently.

Now let f be the Fréchet embedding that maps $u \in X$ to random variable $\xi_u = d(Z, u)$. By Lemma 3.2, f is non-expanding. We are going to prove that for every u and v ,

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_p \geq \frac{c}{l} \cdot d(u, v),$$

for some absolute constant c . Note that it is sufficient to prove this statement for $p = 1$, since by Lyapunov's inequality $\|f(u) - f(v)\|_p \geq \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1$.

Consider two points u and v . Let $\Delta = d(u, v)/2$. Define interval I_Z as follows: $I_Z = [d(u, Z), d(v, Z)]$ if $d(u, Z) \leq d(v, Z)$, and $I_Z = [d(v, Z), d(u, Z)]$ if $d(v, Z) < d(u, Z)$. That is, I_Z is the interval between $d(u, Z)$ and $d(v, Z)$. Denote the length of I_Z by $|I_Z|$. Let $\mathbf{1}_{I_Z}$ be the indicator function of I_Z . Write,

$$|d(u, Z) - d(v, Z)| = |I_Z| = \int_{I_Z} 1 dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{I_Z}(t) dt.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1 &= \mathbb{E} [|d(u, Z) - d(v, Z)|] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{I_Z}(t) dt \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{(by Fubini's theorem)}}{=} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{1}_{I_Z}(t)] dt = \int_0^\infty \Pr(t \in I_Z) dt \geq \int_0^\Delta \Pr(t \in I_Z) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $\Pr(t \in I_Z) \geq \frac{\Omega(1)}{l}$ if $t \in (0, \Delta)$. That will imply that $\|f(u) - f(v)\|_1 \geq \frac{\Omega(1)}{l} \cdot \Delta = \frac{\Omega(1)}{l} \cdot d(u, v)$.

Fix $t \in (0, \Delta)$. Consider balls $B_t(u)$ and $B_t(v)$. They are disjoint since $2t < 2\Delta = d(u, v)$. Assume without loss of generality that $|B_t(u)| \leq |B_t(v)|$. Denote $m = |B_t(u)|$. Let $s_0 = \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1$. Then $m < 2^{s_0} \leq 2m$. Let \mathcal{E}_u be the event that $d(u, Z) > t$, and \mathcal{E}_v be the event that $d(v, Z) \leq t$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(t \in I_Z) &= \Pr(d(u, Z) \leq t \leq d(v, Z) \text{ or } d(v, Z) \leq t \leq d(u, Z)) \\ &\geq \Pr(d(v, Z) \leq t < d(u, Z)) = \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_v). \end{aligned}$$

Event \mathcal{E}_v occurs if and only if there is a point in Z at distance at most t from v ; that is, when $B_t(v) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Event \mathcal{E}_u occurs if and only if $B_t(u) \cap Z = \emptyset$.

Consider the event $s = s_0$. It happens with probability $1/l$. Conditioned on this event, events \mathcal{E}_u and \mathcal{E}_v are independent (since $B_t(u)$ and $B_t(v)$ are disjoint) and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u | s = s_0) &= \prod_{w \in B_t(u)} \Pr(w \notin Z | s = s_0) = \prod_{w \in B_t(u)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right)^m \geq \frac{1}{e}. \\ \Pr(\mathcal{E}_v | s = s_0) &= 1 - \prod_{w \in B_t(v)} \Pr(w \notin Z | s = s_0) = 1 - \prod_{w \in B_t(v)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right) \geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right)^m \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{e^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(t \in I_Z) &\geq \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_v) \geq \Pr(s = s_0) \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_v | s = s_0) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{l} \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u | s = s_0) \Pr(\mathcal{E}_v | s = s_0) \geq \Omega\left(\frac{1}{l}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 4. The set Z might be equal to \emptyset in our proof, then random variables $\xi_u = d(u, Z)$ are not well defined. Show how to fix this problem.

Proof. There are many ways to fix this problem. For instance, we can add an extra point x_∞ to the metric space X , and define $d(u, x_\infty) = 2 \text{diam}(X)$, where $\text{diam}(X) = \max_{u, v \in X} d(u, v)$. Then construct the set Z as before, except that always add x_∞ to Z . Thus we ensure that $Z \neq \emptyset$. In other words, we can define ξ_u as before if $Z \neq \emptyset$, and $\xi_u = 2 \text{diam}(X)$ if $Z = \emptyset$. The rest of the proof goes through without any other changes. □

The proof of Bourgain's theorem provides an efficient randomized procedure for generating set Z . As presented here, this procedure gives an embedding only in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ and not in ℓ_p^N . We already know that if a set of n points embeds in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ with distortion D then it embeds in $\ell_p^{\binom{n}{2}}$ with distortion D . However, in fact, we need only $N = O((\log n)^2)$ dimensions: for every value of $s \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ we make $\Theta(\log n)$ samples of the set Z . Then the total number of samples equals $\Theta((\log n)^2)$. Using the Chernoff bound, it is easy to show that the distortion of the obtained embedding is $O(\log n)$ w.h.p.

Fact 3.5 (Matoušek). *Let $D_{n,p}$ be the smallest number D such that every metric space on n points embeds in ℓ_p with distortion at most $D_{n,p}$. Then*

$$D_{n,p} = \Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{p}\right).$$