

Bourgain's Theorem

Computational and Metric Geometry

Instructor: Yury Makarychev

1 Notation

Given a metric space (X, d) and $S \subset X$, the distance from $x \in X$ to S equals

$$d(x, S) = \inf_{s \in S} d(x, s).$$

The distance between two sets $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ equals

$$d(S_1, S_2) = \inf_{s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2} d(s_1, s_2).$$

Exercise 1. Show that distances between sets do not necessarily satisfy the triangle inequality. That is, it is possible that $d(S_1, S_2) + d(S_2, S_3) > d(S_1, S_3)$ for some sets S_1, S_2 and S_3 .

Exercise 2. Prove that $d(x, y) \geq d(S, x) - d(S, y)$ and thus $d(x, y) \geq |d(S, x) - d(S, y)|$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $y' \in S$ be such that $d(y', y) \leq d(S, y) + \varepsilon$ (if S is a finite set, there is $y' \in S$ s.t. $d(y, y') = d(S, y)$). Then

$$d(x, S) \leq d(x, y') \leq d(x, y) + d(y, y') \leq d(x, y) + d(S, y) + \varepsilon.$$

We proved that $d(x, S) \leq d(x, y) + d(S, y) + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore,

$$d(x, S) \leq d(x, y) + d(S, y).$$

□

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x_0 \in X$ and $r > 0$. The (closed) ball of radius r around x_0 is

$$B_r(x_0) = \text{Ball}_r(x_0) = \{x : d(x, x_0) \leq r\}.$$

2 Warm-up

Consider two normed spaces $(U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ and $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$. Let f be a linear operator between U and V . What is the Lipschitz norm of f ? It is equal

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|_V}{\|x - y\|_U} \stackrel{\text{by linearity of } f}{=} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|f(x - y)\|_V}{\|x - y\|_U} = \sup_{\substack{z \in U \\ z \neq 0}} \frac{\|f(z)\|_V}{\|z\|_U}.$$

The expression $\sup_{\substack{z \in U \\ z \neq 0}} \frac{\|f(z)\|_V}{\|z\|_U}$ is called *the operator norm* of f . The above computation shows that the Lipschitz norm of a linear operator equals its operator norm.

At the previous lecture, we proved that $\ell_p \subset \ell_q$ and $L_q[0, s] \subset L_p[0, s]$ when $p < q$ and $s \in (0, \infty)$. These embeddings define *inclusion* maps $\mathbf{i}_1 : \ell_p \hookrightarrow \ell_q$ and $\mathbf{i}_2 : L_q[0, s] \hookrightarrow L_p[0, s]$ defined by

$$\mathbf{i}_1(a) = a \text{ for every } a \in \ell_p \quad \mathbf{i}_2(f) = f \text{ for every } f \in L_q[0, s].$$

Note that even though maps \mathbf{i}_1 and \mathbf{i}_2 “do not do much” – they just map every element to itself – they are not low distortion maps!

Exercise 3. Compute the Lipschitz norm and distortion of map $\mathbf{i}_1 : \ell_p \hookrightarrow \ell_q$.

Solution. Consider $a \in \ell_p$. Note that $|a_j| \leq \|a\|_p$ and $|a_j|^q = |a_j|^p \cdot |a_j|^{q-p} \leq |a_j|^p \cdot \|a\|_p^{q-p}$. Therefore,

$$\|a\|_q^q = \sum |a_j|^q \leq \sum |a_j|^p \cdot \|a\|_p^{q-p} = \left(\sum |a_j|^p \right) \cdot \|a\|_p^{q-p} = \|a\|_p^p \cdot \|a\|_p^{q-p} = \|a\|_p^q.$$

We get that $\|a\|_q \leq \|a\|_p$. That is, $\|\mathbf{i}_1\|_{Lip} \leq 1$. On the other hand, $\|e_1\|_p = \|e_1\|_q = 1$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots)$. We get, $\|\mathbf{i}_1\|_{Lip} = 1$.

Now let $n \geq 1$. Consider $a = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n \text{ coordinates}}, 0, \dots) \in \ell_p$. We have, $\|a\|_p = n^{1/p}$ and

$\|a\|_q = n^{1/q}$. Thus

$$\|\mathbf{i}_1^{-1}\|_{Lip} \geq \|a\|_p / \|a\|_q = n^{1/p} / n^{1/q} = n^{1/p-1/q}.$$

Since $n^{1/p-1/q} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the norm $\|f^{-1}\|_{Lip}$ is unbounded.

Answer: $\|\mathbf{i}_1\|_{Lip} = 1$, \mathbf{i}_1 has infinite distortion. □

We will need the following inequality.

Theorem 2.1 (Lyapunov’s inequality). *Let $1 \leq p < q = \infty$. For every random variable α with finite q -th moment, we have $\|\alpha\|_p \leq \|\alpha\|_q$.*

Proof. The statement is obvious for $q = \infty$ since $|\alpha| < \|\alpha\|_\infty$ almost surely. Let us assume that $q < \infty$. Let $f(x) = x^{q/p}$ for $x \geq 0$. Note that $f(x)$ is a convex function. Let $\beta = |\alpha|^p$ (β is a random variable). We have

$$\|\alpha\|_q^q = \mathbb{E} [|\alpha|^q] = \mathbb{E} [|\beta|^{q/p}] = \mathbb{E} [f(|\beta|)] \stackrel{\text{by Jensen's Inequality}}{\geq} f(\mathbb{E} [|\beta|]) = (\mathbb{E} [|\alpha|^p])^{q/p}.$$

We conclude that $\|\alpha\|_q \geq \|\alpha\|_p$ as required. □

Exercise 4. Compute the Lipschitz norm and distortion of map $\mathbf{i}_2 : L_q[0, s] \hookrightarrow L_p[0, s]$.

Proof. First, consider $f(x) = 1$, a constant function defined on $[0, s]$. We have $\|f\|_{L_p} = s^{1/p}$ and $\|f\|_{L_q} = s^{1/q}$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{i}_2\|_{Lip} \geq \frac{\|\mathbf{i}_2(f)\|_p}{\|f\|_q} = s^{1/p-1/q}.$$

Now consider $f \in L_q[0, s]$. Let ξ be a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0, s]$. Note that for every function h on $[0, s]$, we have

$$\int_0^s h(x)dx = s \int_0^1 h(y)s dy = s\mathbb{E}[h(\xi)].$$

Therefore, $\|f\|_{L_p}^p = s\mathbb{E}[|f(\xi)|^p] = s\|f(\xi)\|_p^p$, and $\|f\|_{L_p} = s^{1/p}\|f(\xi)\|_p$ (here, $f(\xi)$ is a random variable). Similarly, $\|f\|_{L_q} = s^{1/q}\|f(\xi)\|_q$. By Lyapunov's inequality for $\alpha = f(\xi)$,

$$\|f\|_{L_p} = s^{1/p}\|f(\xi)\|_p \leq s^{1/p}\|f(\xi)\|_q = s^{1/p-1/q}(s^{1/q}\|f(\xi)\|_q) = s^{1/p-1/q}\|f\|_{L_q}.$$

We get that $\|f\|_{Lip} \leq s^{1/p-1/q}$ and therefore $\|f\|_{Lip} = s^{1/p-1/q}$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Consider $f_\varepsilon(x) = x^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{q}}$. We compute its p and q norms and get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\varepsilon\|_p &\rightarrow \left(\frac{qs^{\frac{q-p}{q}}}{q-p}\right)^{1/p} < \infty && \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ \|f_\varepsilon\|_q &\rightarrow \infty && \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathbf{i}_2 has infinite distortion.

Answer: $\|\mathbf{i}_2\|_{Lip} = s^{1/p-1/q}$, \mathbf{i}_2 has infinite distortion. □

3 Bourgain's Theorem

Definition 3.1. Let X be a finite metric space and $p \geq 1$. Suppose that $Z \neq \emptyset$ is a random subset of X (chosen according to some probability distribution). For every $u \in X$, define random variable $\xi_u = d(u, Z) = \min_{z \in Z} d(u, z)$. Consider the map f from X to the space of random variables $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that sends u to ξ_u (where Ω is the probability space and μ is the probability measure on Ω). We say that f is a Fréchet embedding.

Lemma 3.2. Every Fréchet embedding f is non-expanding. That is, $\|f\|_{Lip} \leq 1$.

Proof. Consider a Fréchet embedding that sends u to $\xi_u = d(u, Z)$. For every $u, v \in X$, we have

$$\|\xi_u - \xi_v\|_p = (\mathbb{E}[|d(u, Z) - d(v, Z)|^p])^{1/p} \stackrel{\text{by Exercise 2}}{\leq} (\mathbb{E}[|d(u, v)|^p])^{1/p} = d(u, v).$$

□

Remark 3.3. If X is infinite, then the random variable $\xi_u = d(u, Z)$ does not necessarily belong to $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ (its p -norm might be infinite). However, we can define $\tilde{\xi}_u$ as $\tilde{\xi}_u = d(u, Z) - d(x_0, Z)$, where x_0 is some point in X . Then the proof of Lemma 3.2 shows that $\|\tilde{\xi}_u\|_p \leq d(u, x_0) < \infty$ and the map $f : u \mapsto \tilde{\xi}_u$ is non-expanding.

Theorem 3.4 (Bourgain's Theorem). *Every metric space X on n points embeds into $L_p(X, \mu)$ with distortion $O(\log n)$ (for every $p \geq 1$). That is, $c_p(X) = O(\log n)$.*

Proof. Let $l = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$. Construct a random set Z as follows.

- Choose s uniformly at random from $\{1, \dots, l\}$.
- Initially, let $Z = \emptyset$.
- Add every point of X to Z with probability $1/2^s$, independently.

Now let f be the Fréchet embedding that maps $u \in X$ to random variable $\xi_u = d(Z, u)$. By Lemma 3.2, f is non-expanding. We are going to prove that for every u and v ,

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_p \geq \frac{c}{l} \cdot d(u, v),$$

for some absolute constant c . Note that it is sufficient to prove this statement for $p = 1$, since by Lyapunov's inequality $\|f(u) - f(v)\|_p \geq \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1$.

Consider two points u and v . Let $\Delta = d(u, v)/2$. Write,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1 &= \mathbb{E} [|d(u, Z) - d(v, Z)|] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[d(u, Z), d(v, Z)] \cup [d(v, Z), d(u, Z)]} dt \right] \\ \text{(by Fubini's theorem)} &= \int_0^\infty \Pr(d(u, Z) \leq t < d(v, Z) \text{ or } d(v, Z) \leq t < d(u, Z)) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^\Delta \Pr(d(u, Z) \leq t < d(v, Z) \text{ or } d(v, Z) \leq t < d(u, Z)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $\Pr(d(u, Z) \leq t < d(v, Z) \text{ or } d(v, Z) \leq t < d(u, Z)) \geq \frac{\Omega(1)}{l}$ if $t \in (0, \Delta)$. That will imply that $\|f(u) - f(v)\|_1 \geq \frac{\Omega(1)}{l} \cdot \Delta = \frac{\Omega(1)}{l} \cdot d(u, v)$.

We fix $t \in (0, \Delta)$. Consider balls $B_t(u)$ and $B_t(v)$. Note that they are disjoint since $2t < 2\Delta = d(u, v)$. Assume without loss of generality that $|B_t(u)| \leq |B_t(v)|$. Denote $m = |B_t(u)|$. Let $s_0 = \lceil \log_2 m \rceil + 1$. Then $m < 2^{s_0} \leq 2m$. Let \mathcal{E}_u be the event that $d(u, Z) > t$ and \mathcal{E}_v be the event that $d(v, Z) \leq t$. We have,

$$\Pr(d(u, Z) < t < d(v, Z) \text{ or } d(v, Z) < t < d(u, Z)) \geq \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_v).$$

Note that the event \mathcal{E}_v occurs if and only if there is a point in Z at distance at most t from v ; that is, when $B_t(v) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. The event \mathcal{E}_u occurs if and only if $B_t(u) \cap Z = \emptyset$.

Consider the event $s = s_0$. It happens with probability $1/l$. Conditioned on this event, events \mathcal{E}_u and \mathcal{E}_v are independent (since $B_t(u)$ and $B_t(v)$ are disjoint) and

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\mathcal{E}_u | s = s_0) &= \prod_{w \in B_t(u)} \Pr(w \notin Z | s = s_0) = \prod_{w \in B_t(u)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right)^m \geq \frac{1}{e}. \\ \Pr(\mathcal{E}_v | s = s_0) &= 1 - \prod_{w \in B_t(v)} \Pr(w \notin Z | s = s_0) = 1 - \prod_{w \in B_t(v)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right) \geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s_0}}\right)^m \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{e^{1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(d(u, Z) < t < d(v, Z) \text{ or } d(v, Z) < t < d(u, Z)) &\geq \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_v) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{l} \Pr(\mathcal{E}_u | s = s_0) \Pr(\mathcal{E}_v | s = s_0) \geq \Omega\left(\frac{1}{l}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 5. *The set Z might be equal to \emptyset in our proof, then random variables $\xi_u = d(u, Z)$ are not well defined. Show how to fix this problem.*

Proof. There are many ways to fix this problem. For instance, we can add an extra point x_∞ to the metric space X , and define $d(u, x_\infty) = 2 \operatorname{diam}(X)$, where $\operatorname{diam}(X) = \max_{u, v \in X} d(u, v)$. Then construct the set Z as before, except that always add x_∞ to Z . Thus we ensure that $Z \neq \emptyset$. In other words, we can define ξ_u as before if $Z \neq \emptyset$, and $\xi_u = 2 \operatorname{diam}(X)$ if $Z = \emptyset$. The rest of the proof goes through without any other changes. □

The proof of Bourgain's theorem provides an efficient randomized procedure for generating set Z . As presented here, this procedure gives an embedding only in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ and not in ℓ_p^N . We already know that if a set of n points embeds in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ with distortion D then it embeds in $\ell_p^{\binom{n}{2}}$ with distortion D . However, in fact, we need only $N = O((\log n)^2)$ dimensions: for every value of $s \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ we make $\Theta(\log n)$ samples of the set Z . Then the total number of samples equals $\Theta((\log n)^2)$. Using the Chernoff bound, it is easy to show that the distortion of the obtained embedding is $O(\log n)$ w.h.p.

Fact 3.5 (Matoušek). *Let $D_{n,p}$ be the smallest number D such that every metric space on n points embeds in ℓ_p with distortion at most $D_{n,p}$. Then*

$$D_{n,p} = \Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{p}\right).$$