

Lecture 3: October 3, 2023

Lecturer: Madhur Tulsiani

1 Kernel and Image of a Linear Transformation

Definition 1.1 Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. We define its kernel and image as:

- $\ker(\varphi) := \{v \in V \mid \varphi(v) = 0_W\}$.
- $\text{im}(\varphi) = \{\varphi(v) \mid v \in V\}$.

Proposition 1.2 $\ker(\varphi)$ is a subspace of V and $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is a subspace of W .

Proposition 1.3 (rank-nullity theorem) If V is a finite dimensional vector space and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then

$$\dim(\ker(\varphi)) + \dim(\text{im}(\varphi)) = \dim(V).$$

$\dim(\text{im}(\varphi))$ is called the rank and $\dim(\ker(\varphi))$ is called the nullity of φ .

Example 1.4 Consider the matrix A which defines a linear transformation from \mathbb{F}_2^7 to \mathbb{F}_2^3 :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $\dim(\text{im}(\varphi)) = 3$.
- $\dim(\ker(\varphi)) = 4$.
- Check that $\ker(\varphi)$ is a code which can recover from one bit of error.
- Check that this is also true for the $(2^k - 1) \times k$ matrix A_k where the i^{th} column is the number i written in binary (with the most significant bit at the top).

This code is known as the Hamming Code and the matrix A is called the parity-check matrix of the code.

2 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Definition 2.1 Let V be a vector space over the field \mathbb{F} and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is said to be an eigenvalue of φ if there exists $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ such that $\varphi(v) = \lambda \cdot v$. Such a vector v is called an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . The set of eigenvalues of φ is called its spectrum:

$$\text{spec}(\varphi) = \{\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \varphi\} .$$

Example 2.2 Consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be viewed as a linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^2 . Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is only satisfied if $\lambda = 0, x_1 = 0$ or $\lambda = 2, x_2 = 0$. Thus $\text{spec}(M) = \{0, 2\}$.

Example 2.3 It can also be the case that $\text{spec}(\varphi) = \emptyset$, as witnessed by the rotation matrix

$$M_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

when viewed as a linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example 2.4 Consider the following transformations:

- Differentiation is a linear transformation on the class of (say) infinitely differentiable real-valued functions over $[0, 1]$ (denoted by $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$). Each function of the form $c \cdot \exp(\lambda x)$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ . If we denote the transformation by φ_0 , then $\text{spec}(\varphi_0) = \mathbb{R}$.
- We can also consider the transformation $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ defined by differentiation i.e., for any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $\varphi_1(P) = dP/dx$. Note that now the only eigenvalue is 0, and thus $\text{spec}(\varphi) = \{0\}$.
- Consider the transformation $\varphi_{\text{left}} : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Any geometric progression with common ratio r is an eigenvector of φ_{left} with eigenvalue r (and these are the only eigenvectors for this transformation).

Proposition 2.5 Let $U_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \varphi(v) = \lambda \cdot v\}$. Then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, U_λ is a subspace of V .

Note that $U_\lambda = \{0_V\}$ if λ is not an eigenvalue. The dimension of this subspace is called the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ .

Proposition 2.6 *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of φ with associated eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_k . Then the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly independent.*

Proof: We can prove via induction that for all $r \in [k]$, the subset $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is independent. The base case follows from the fact that $v_1 \neq 0_V$, and thus $\{v_1\}$ is a linearly independent set. For the induction step, assume that the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is linearly independent.

If the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}\}$ is linearly dependent, there exist scalars $c_1, \dots, c_{r+1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$c_1 \cdot v_1 + \dots + c_{r+1} \cdot v_{r+1} = 0_V.$$

Also, note that we must have at least one of $c_1, \dots, c_r \neq 0$ (since $v_{r+1} \neq 0$). Applying φ on both sides gives

$$\lambda_1 \cdot c_1 \cdot v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{r+1} \cdot c_{r+1} \cdot v_{r+1} = 0_V.$$

Multiplying the first equality by λ_{r+1} and subtracting the two gives

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{r+1}) \cdot c_1 \cdot v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r+1})c_r \cdot v_r = 0_V.$$

Since all the eigenvalues are distinct, and at least one of c_1, \dots, c_r is non-zero, the above shows that $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is linearly dependent, which contradicts the inductive hypothesis. Thus, the set v_1, \dots, v_{r+1} must be linearly independent. ■

Definition 2.7 *A transformation $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ is said to be diagonalizable if there exists a basis of V comprising of eigenvectors of φ .*

Example 2.8 *The linear transformation defined by the matrix*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is diagonalizable since there is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 formed by the eigenvectors $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example 2.9 *Any linear transformation $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$, with k distinct eigenvalues, where $k = \dim(V)$, is diagonalizable. This is because the corresponding eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_k with distinct eigenvalues will be linearly independent, and since they are k linearly independent vectors in a space with dimension k , they must form a basis.*

Exercise 2.10 *Recall that $\text{Fib} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) \forall n \geq 2\}$. Show that $\varphi_{\text{left}} : \text{Fib} \rightarrow \text{Fib}$ is diagonalizable. Express the sequence by $f(0) = 1, f(1) = 1$ and $f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) \forall n \geq 2$ (known as Fibonacci numbers) as a linear combination of eigenvectors of φ_{left} .*

3 Inner Products

For the discussion below, we will take the field \mathbb{F} to be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} since the definition of inner products needs the notion of a “magnitude” for a field element (these can be defined more generally for subfields of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} known as Euclidean subfields, but we shall not do so here).

Definition 3.1 Let V be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} (which is taken to be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). A function $\mu : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is an inner product if

- The function $\mu(u, \cdot) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear transformation for every $u \in V$.
- The function satisfies $\mu(u, v) = \overline{\mu(v, u)}$.
- $\mu(v, v) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for all $v \in V$ and is 0 only for $v = 0_V$.

We write the inner product corresponding to μ as $\langle u, v \rangle_\mu$.

Strictly speaking, the inner product should always be written as $\langle u, v \rangle_\mu$, but we usually omit the μ when the function is clear from context (or we are referring to an arbitrary inner product).

Remark 3.2 It follows from the first and second properties above, that while the linear transformation $\mu(u, \cdot) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is linear, the transformation $\mu(\cdot, v) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defined by fixing the second input, is “anti-linear” or “conjugate-linear” satisfying

$$\mu(u_1 + u_2, v) = \mu(u_1, v) + \mu(u_2, v) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(c \cdot u, v) = \bar{c} \cdot \mu(u, v).$$

Example 3.3 The following are all examples of inner products:

- The function $\int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x)dx$ for $f, g \in C([-1, 1], \mathbb{R})$ (space of continuous functions from $[-1, 1]$ to \mathbb{R}).
- The function $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)g(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$ for $f, g \in C([-1, 1], \mathbb{R})$.
- For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$ is the usual inner product. Check that $\langle x, y \rangle = 2x_1y_1 + 2x_2y_2 + x_1y_2/2 + x_2y_1/2$ also defines an inner product.