

## Lecture 7: October 22, 2019

Lecturer: Madhur Tulsiani

## 1 The Real Spectral Theorem

In this lecture, we will prove the “real spectral theorem” for self-adjoint operators  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  (so named because the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator are real, not because other spectral theorems are fake!) We will show that any such operator is not only diagonalizable (has a basis of eigenvectors) but is in fact *orthogonally diagonalizable* i.e., has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. This gives a very convenient way of thinking about the action of such operators. In particular, let  $\dim(V) = n$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  form an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $\varphi$ , with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Then for any vector  $v$  expressible in this basis as (say)  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot w_i$ , we can think of the action of  $\varphi$  as

$$\varphi(v) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot w_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \lambda_i \cdot w_i.$$

Of course, we can also think of the action of  $\varphi$  in this way as long as  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  form a basis (not necessarily orthonormal). However, this view is particularly useful when they form an orthonormal basis. As we will later see, this also provides the “right” basis to think about many matrices, such as the adjacency matrices of graphs (where such decompositions are the subject of spectral graph theory). To prove the spectral theorem, we will need the following statement (which we’ll prove later).

**Proposition 1.1** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space (over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.*

Using the above proposition, we will prove the spectral theorem below for finite dimensional vector spaces. The proof below can also be made to work for Hilbert spaces (using the axiom of choice). The above proposition, which gives the existence of an eigenvalue is often proved differently for finite and infinite-dimensional spaces, and the proof for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces requires additional conditions on the operator  $\varphi$ . We first prove the spectral theorem assuming the above proposition.

**Proposition 1.2 (Real spectral theorem)** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then  $\varphi$  is orthogonally diagonalizable.*

**Proof:** By induction on the dimension of  $V$ . Let  $\dim(V) = 1$ . Then by the previous proposition  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue, and hence at least one eigenvector, say  $w$ . Then  $w / \|w\|$  is a unit vector which forms a basis for  $V$ .

Let  $\dim(V) = k + 1$ . Again, by the previous proposition  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvector, say  $w$ . Let  $W = \text{Span}(\{w\})$  and let  $W^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \langle v, w \rangle = 0\}$ . Check the following:

- $W^\perp$  is a subspace of  $V$ .
- $\dim(W^\perp) = k$ .
- $W^\perp$  is invariant under  $\varphi$  i.e.,  $\forall v \in W^\perp, \varphi(v) \in W^\perp$ .

Thus, we can consider the operator  $\varphi' : W^\perp \rightarrow W^\perp$  defined as

$$\varphi'(v) := \varphi(v) \quad \forall v \in W^\perp.$$

Then,  $\varphi'$  is a self-adjoint (check!) operator defined on the  $k$ -dimensional space  $W^\perp$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  for  $W^\perp$  such that each  $w_i$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi$ . Thus  $\left\{w_1, \dots, w_k, \frac{w}{\|w\|}\right\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$ , comprising of eigenvectors of  $\varphi$ . ■

## 2 Existence of eigenvalues

We now prove Proposition 1.1, which shows that a self-adjoint operator must have at least one eigenvalue. Let us assume for now that  $V$  is an inner product space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . As was observed in class, in this case we don't need self-adjointness to guarantee an eigenvalue. We thus prove the following more general result

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator. Then  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.*

**Proof:** Let  $\dim(V) = n$ . Let  $v \in V \setminus 0_V$  be any non-zero vector. Consider the set of  $n + 1$  vectors  $\{v, \varphi(v), \dots, \varphi^n(v)\}$ . Since the dimension of  $V$  is  $n$ , there must exist  $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$c_0 \cdot v + c_1 \cdot \varphi(v) + \dots + c_n \varphi^n(v) = 0_V.$$

We assume above that  $c_n \neq 0$ , otherwise we can only consider the sum to the largest  $i$  such that  $c_i \neq 0$ . Let  $P(x)$  denote the polynomial  $c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_nx^n$ . Then the above can be written as  $(P(\varphi))(v) = 0$ , where  $P(\varphi) : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear operator defined as

$$P(\varphi) := c_0 \cdot \text{id} + c_1 \cdot \varphi + \dots + c_n \varphi^n,$$

with  $\text{id}$  used to denote the identity operator. Since  $P$  is a degree- $n$  polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ , it can be factored into  $n$  linear factors, and we can write  $P(x) = c_n \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$  for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . This means that we can write

$$P(\varphi) = c_n(\varphi - \lambda_n \cdot \text{id}) \cdots (\varphi - \lambda_1 \cdot \text{id}).$$

Let  $w_0 = v$  and define  $w_i = \varphi(w_{i-1}) - \lambda_i \cdot w_{i-1}$  for  $i \in [n]$ . Note that  $w_0 = v \neq 0_V$  and  $w_n = P(\varphi)(v) = 0_V$ . Let  $i^*$  denote the largest index  $i$  such that  $w_i \neq 0_V$ . Then, we have

$$0_V = w_{i^*+1} = \varphi(w_{i^*}) - \lambda_{i^*+1} \cdot w_{i^*}.$$

This implies that  $w_{i^*}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda_{i^*+1}$ . ■

To prove Proposition 1.1 using this, we note that  $\varphi = \varphi^*$  implies the eigenvalue found by the above proposition must be real.

**Exercise 2.2** Use the fact that the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator are real to prove Proposition 1.1 even when  $V$  is an inner product space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### 3 Rayleigh quotients: eigenvalues as optimization

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator and  $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ . The Rayleigh quotient of  $\varphi$  at  $v$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_\varphi(v) := \frac{\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2}.$$

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $\dim(V) = n$  and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_\varphi(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n = \min_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_\varphi(v)$$

Using the above, Rayleigh quotients can be used to prove the spectral theorem for Hilbert spaces, by showing that the above maximum<sup>1</sup> is attained at a point in the space, and defines an eigenvalue if the operator  $\varphi$  is “compact”. A proof can be found in these notes by Paul Garrett [Gar12].

---

<sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, we should write  $\sup$  and  $\inf$  instead of  $\max$  and  $\min$  until we can justify that  $\max$  and  $\min$  are well defined. The difference is that  $\sup$  and  $\inf$  are defined as limits while  $\max$  and  $\min$  are defined as actual maximum and minimum values in a space, and these may not always exist while we are at looking infinitely many values. Thus, while  $\sup_{x \in (0,1)} x = 1$ , the quantity  $\max_{x \in (0,1)} x$  does not exist. However, in the cases we consider, the  $\max$  and  $\min$  will always exist (since our spaces are closed under limits) and we will use  $\max$  and  $\min$  in the class to simplify things.

**Proposition 3.3 (Courant-Fischer theorem)** Let  $\dim(V) = n$  and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_k = \max_{\substack{S \subseteq V \\ \dim(S)=k}} \min_{v \in S \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_\varphi(v) = \min_{\substack{S \subseteq V \\ \dim(S)=n-k+1}} \max_{v \in S \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_\varphi(v).$$

**Definition 3.4** Let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint operator.  $\Phi$  is said to be positive semidefinite if  $\mathcal{R}_\varphi(v) \geq 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .  $\Phi$  is said to be positive definite if  $\mathcal{R}_\varphi(v) > 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.5** Let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\mathcal{R}_\varphi(v) \geq 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .
2. All eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  are non-negative.
3. There exists  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$ .

The decomposition of a positive semidefinite operator in the form  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$  is known as the Cholesky decomposition of the operator. Note that if we can write  $\varphi$  as  $\alpha^* \alpha$  for any  $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ , then this in fact also shows that  $\varphi$  is self-adjoint and positive semidefinite.

## References

[Gar12] Paul Garrett, *Compact operators on Hilbert space*, 2012, [http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/Notes/04b\\_cpt\\_ops\\_hsp.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/Notes/04b_cpt_ops_hsp.pdf).