

Lecture 6: October 17, 2019

Lecturer: Madhur Tulsiani

1 Adjoint of a linear transformation

Definition 1.1 Let V, W be inner product spaces over the same field \mathbb{F} and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. A transformation $\varphi^* : W \rightarrow V$ is called an adjoint of φ if

$$\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.$$

Example 1.2 Let $V = W = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \cdot \overline{v_i}$. Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ be represented by the matrix A . Then φ^* is represented by the matrix A^T .

Example 1.3 Let $V = C([0, 1], [-1, 1])$ with the inner product defined as $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_0^1 f_1(x)f_2(x)dx$, and let $W = C([0, 1/2], [-1, 1])$ with the inner product $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle = \int_0^{1/2} g_1(x)g_2(x)dx$. Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be defined as $\varphi(f)(x) = f(2x)$. Then, $\varphi^* : W \rightarrow V$ can be defined as

$$\varphi^*(g)(y) = (1/2) \cdot g(y/2).$$

Exercise 1.4 Let $\varphi_{\text{left}} : \text{Fib} \rightarrow \text{Fib}$ be the left shift operator as before, and let $\langle f, g \rangle$ for $f, g \in \text{Fib}$ be defined as $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)g(n)}{C^n}$ for $C > 4$. Find φ_{left}^* .

We will prove that every linear transformation has a unique adjoint. However, we first need the following characterization of linear transformations from V to \mathbb{F} .

Proposition 1.5 (Riesz Representation Theorem) Let V be a finite-dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{F} and let $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $z \in V$ such that $\alpha(v) = \langle z, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$.

We only prove the theorem here for finite-dimensional spaces. However, the theorem holds for any Hilbert space.

Proof: Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . Then check that

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha(w_i)} \cdot w_i$$

must be the unique z satisfying the required property. ■

This can be used to prove the following:

Proposition 1.6 *Let V, W be finite dimensional inner product spaces and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $\varphi^* : W \rightarrow V$, such that*

$$\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.$$

Proof: For each $w \in W$, the map $\langle w, \varphi(\cdot) \rangle : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear transformation (check!) and hence there exists a unique $z_w \in V$ satisfying $\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle z_w, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$. Consider the map $\beta : W \rightarrow V$ defined as $\beta(w) = z_w$. By definition of β ,

$$\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \beta(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.$$

To check that α is linear, we note that $\forall v \in V, \forall w_1, w_2 \in W$,

$$\langle \beta(w_1 + w_2), v \rangle = \langle w_1 + w_2, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle w_1, \varphi(v) \rangle + \langle w_2, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \beta(w_1), v \rangle + \langle \beta(w_2), v \rangle,$$

which implies $\beta(w_1 + w_2) = \beta(w_1) + \beta(w_2)$ (why?) $\beta(c \cdot w) = c \cdot \beta(w)$ follows similarly. ■

Note that the above proof only requires the Riesz representation theorem (to define z_w) and hence also works for Hilbert spaces.

2 Self-adjoint transformations

Definition 2.1 *A linear transformation $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ is called self-adjoint if $\varphi = \varphi^*$. Linear transformations from a vector space to itself are called linear operators.*

Example 2.2 *The transformation represented by matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is self-adjoint if $A = \overline{A^T}$. Such matrices are called Hermitian matrices.*

Proposition 2.3 *Let V be an inner product space and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then*

- All eigenvalues of φ are real.
- If $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ are eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues then they are mutually orthogonal.