

## Lecture 5: October 16, 2018

Lecturer: Madhur Tulsiani

## 1 Orthogonality and orthonormality.

**Definition 1.1** Two vectors  $u, v$  in an inner product space are said to be orthogonal if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ . A set of vectors  $S \subseteq V$  is said to consist of mutually orthogonal vectors if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \neq v, u, v \in S$ . A set of  $S \subseteq V$  is said to be orthonormal if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \neq v, u, v \in S$  and  $\|u\| = 1$  for all  $u \in S$ .

**Proposition 1.2** A set  $S \subseteq V \setminus \{0_V\}$  consisting of mutually orthogonal vectors is linearly independent.

**Proposition 1.3 (Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization)** Given a finite set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of linearly independent vectors, there exists a set of orthonormal vectors  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  such that

$$\text{Span}(\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}) = \text{Span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}).$$

**Proof:** By induction. The case with one vector is trivial. Given the statement for  $k$  vectors and orthonormal  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  such that

$$\text{Span}(\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}) = \text{Span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}),$$

define

$$u_{k+1} = v_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle w_i, v_{k+1} \rangle \cdot w_i \quad \text{and} \quad w_{k+1} = \frac{u_{k+1}}{\|u_{k+1}\|}.$$

It is easy to check that the set  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}\}$  satisfies the required conditions. ■

**Corollary 1.4** Every finite dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis.

In fact, Hilbert spaces also have orthonormal bases (which are countable). The existence of a maximal orthonormal set of vectors can be proved by using Zorn's lemma, similar to the proof of existence of a Hamel basis for a vector space. However, we still need to prove that a maximal orthonormal set is a basis. This follows because we define the basis

slightly differently for a Hilbert space: instead of allowing only finite linear combinations, we allow infinite ones. The correct way of saying this is that we still think of the span as the set of all *finite* linear combinations, then we only need that for any  $v \in V$ , we can get arbitrarily close to  $v$  using elements in the span (a converging sequence of finite sums can get arbitrarily close to its limit). Thus, we only need that the span is *dense* in the Hilbert space  $V$ . However, if the maximal orthonormal set is not dense, then it is possible to show that it cannot be maximal. Such a basis is known as a Hilbert basis.

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional inner product space and let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V$ . Then for any  $v \in V$ , there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $v = \sum_i c_i \cdot w_i$ . The coefficients  $c_i$  are often called Fourier coefficients. Using the orthonormality and the properties of the inner product, we get  $c_i = \langle w_i, v \rangle$ . This can be used to prove the following

**Proposition 1.5 (Parseval's identity)** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional inner product space and let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V$ . Then, for any  $u, v \in V$*

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\langle w_i, u \rangle} \cdot \langle w_i, v \rangle .$$

## 2 Adjoint of a linear transformation

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $V, W$  be inner product spaces over the same field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. A transformation  $\varphi^* : W \rightarrow V$  is called an adjoint of  $\varphi$  if*

$$\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .$$

**Example 2.2** *Let  $V = W = \mathbb{C}^n$  with the inner product  $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \cdot \overline{v_i}$ . Let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$  be represented by the matrix  $A$ . Then  $\varphi^*$  is represented by the matrix  $A^T$ .*

**Exercise 2.3** *Let  $\varphi_{\text{left}} : \text{Fib} \rightarrow \text{Fib}$  be the left shift operator as before, and let  $\langle f, g \rangle$  for  $f, g \in \text{Fib}$  be defined as  $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)g(n)}{C^n}$  for  $C > 4$ . Find  $\varphi_{\text{left}}^*$ .*

We will prove that every linear transformation has a unique adjoint. However, we first need the following characterization of linear transformations from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Proposition 2.4 (Riesz Representation Theorem)** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique  $z \in V$  such that  $\alpha(v) = \langle z, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$ .*

We only prove the theorem here for finite-dimensional spaces. However, the theorem holds for any Hilbert space.

**Proof:** Let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V$ . Then check that

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha(w_i)} \cdot w_i$$

must be the unique  $z$  satisfying the required property. ■

This can be used to prove the following:

**Proposition 2.5** *Let  $V, W$  be finite dimensional inner product spaces and let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique  $\varphi^* : W \rightarrow V$ , such that*

$$\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.$$

**Proof:** For each  $w \in W$ , the map  $\langle w, \varphi(\cdot) \rangle : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is a linear transformation (check!) and hence there exists a unique  $z_w \in V$  satisfying  $\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle z_w, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$ . Consider the map  $\alpha : W \rightarrow V$  defined as  $\alpha(w) = z_w$ . By definition of  $\alpha$ ,

$$\langle w, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \alpha(w), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.$$

To check that  $\alpha$  is linear, we note that  $\forall v \in V, \forall w_1, w_2 \in W$ ,

$$\langle \alpha(w_1 + w_2), v \rangle = \langle w_1 + w_2, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle w_1, \varphi(v) \rangle + \langle w_2, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle \alpha(w_1), v \rangle + \langle \alpha(w_2), v \rangle,$$

which implies  $\alpha(w_1 + w_2) = \alpha(w_1) + \alpha(w_2)$  (why?)  $\alpha(c \cdot w) = c \cdot \alpha(w)$  follows similarly. ■

Note that the above proof only requires the Riesz representation theorem (to define  $z_w$ ) and hence also works for Hilbert spaces.