Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Ian Goodfellow, Research Scientist MLSLP Keynote, San Francisco 2016-09-13



Generative Modeling

• Density estimation



• Sample generation



Training examples

(Goodfellow 2016)

Conditional Generative Modeling

SO, I REMEMBER WHEN THEY CAME HERE



Semi-supervised learning

SO, I REMEMBER WHEN THEY CAME HERE







Maximum Likelihood





Fully Visible Belief Nets

• Explicit formula based on chain (Frey et al, 1996) rule: $p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = p_{\text{model}}(x_1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\text{model}}(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$

i=2

- Disadvantages:
 - O(n) non-parallelizable steps to sample generation
 - No latent representation



PixelCNN elephants (van den Oord et al 2016)



Sample generation slow (Not sure how much is just research code not being optimized and how much is intrinsic)



@hardmaru it takes 90 minutes to synthesize one second of audio.



12:38 PM - 8 Sep 2016

GANs

- Have a fast, parallelizable sample generation process
- Use a latent code
- Are often regarded as producing the best samples
 - No good way to quantify this

Generator Network $\boldsymbol{x} = G(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(G)})$



- -Must be differentiable
- In theory, could use REINFORCE for discrete variables
- No invertibility requirement
- Trainable for any size of z
- Some guarantees require z to have higher dimension than x
- Can make x conditionally Gaussian given z but need not do so

Training Procedure

- Use SGD-like algorithm of choice (Adam) on two minibatches simultaneously:
 - A minibatch of training examples
 - A minibatch of generated samples
- Optional: run k steps of one player for every step of the other player.

Minimax Game

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log \left(1 - D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)$$
$$J^{(G)} = -J^{(D)}$$

- -Equilibrium is a saddle point of the discriminator loss
- -Resembles Jensen-Shannon divergence
- -Generator minimizes the log-probability of the discriminator being correct

Non-Saturating Game

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log (1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))$$

$$J^{(G)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log D(G(\boldsymbol{z}))$$

-Equilibrium no longer describable with a single loss -Generator maximizes the log-probability of the discriminator being mistaken

-Heuristically motivated; generator can still learn even when discriminator successfully rejects all generator samples

Maximum Likelihood Game

$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log \left(1 - D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)$$
$$J^{(G)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \exp\left(\sigma^{-1}\left(D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)\right)\right)$$

When discriminator is optimal, the generator gradient matches that of maximum likelihood

("On Distinguishability Criteria for Estimating Generative Models", Goodfellow 2014, pg 5)

Discriminator Strategy

Optimal $D(\boldsymbol{x})$ for any $p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is always

A cooperative rather than Discriminator adversarial view of GANs: the discriminator tries to estimate the ratio of the data and model distributions, and informs the generator of its estimate in order to guide its improvements.



DCGAN Architecture



(Radford et al 2015)

DCGANs for LSUN Bedrooms



(Radford et al 2015)

Vector Space Arithmetic





Man



Man with glasses Woman



Woman with Glasses

Mode Collapse

- Fully optimizing the discriminator with the generator held constant is safe
- Fully optimizing the generator with the discriminator held constant results in mapping all points to the argmax of the discriminator
- Can partially fix this by adding nearest-neighbor features constructed from the current minibatch to the discriminator ("minibatch GAN") (Salimans et al 2016)

Minibatch GAN on CIFAR



Training Data

Samples

(Salimans et al 2016)

Minibatch GAN on ImageNet



(Salimans et al 2016)

Cherry-Picked Samples



(Goodfellow 2016)

Conditional Generation: Text to Image

Output distributions with lower entropy are easier

this small bird has a pink breast and crown, and black almost all black with a red primaries and secondaries.



the flower has petals that are bright pinkish purple with white stigma

this magnificent fellow is crest, and white cheek patch.



this white and yellow flower have thin white petals and a round yellow stamen





(Reed et al 2016)

Semi-Supervised Classification

MNIST (Permutation Invariant)

| Model | Nu | Number of incorrectly predicted test examples | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--|
| | for a given number of labeled samples | | | | |
| | 20 | 50 | 100 | 200 | |
| DGN [21] | | | 333 ± 14 | | |
| Virtual Adversarial [22] | | | 212 | | |
| CatGAN [14] | 191 ± 10 | | | | |
| Skip Deep Generative Model [23] | 132 ± 7 | | | | |
| Ladder network [24] | | | 106 ± 37 | | |
| Auxiliary Deep Generative Model [23] | | | 96 ± 2 | | |
| Our model | 1677 ± 452 | 221 ± 136 | 93 ± 6.5 | 90 ± 4.2 | |
| Ensemble of 10 of our models | 1134 ± 445 | 142 ± 96 | 86 ± 5.6 | 81 ± 4.3 | |

(Salimans et al 2016)

Semi-Supervised Classification

CIFAR-10

| Model | Test error rate for | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | a given number of labeled samples | | | | |
| | 1000 | 2000 | 4000 | 8000 | |
| Ladder network [24] | | | 20.40 ± 0.47 | | |
| CatGAN [14] | | | $19.58 {\pm} 0.46$ | | |
| Our model | $21.83 {\pm} 2.01$ | $19.61 {\pm} 2.09$ | $18.63 {\pm} 2.32$ | 17.72 ± 1.82 | |
| Ensemble of 10 of our models | $19.22 {\pm} 0.54$ | $17.25 {\pm} 0.66$ | $15.59 {\pm} 0.47$ | $14.87 {\pm} 0.89$ | |

SVHN

| Model | Percentage of incorrectly predicted test examples | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| | for a given number of labeled samples | | | |
| | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | |
| DGN [21] | | 36.02 ± 0.10 | | |
| Virtual Adversarial [22] | | 24.63 | | |
| Auxiliary Deep Generative Model [23] | | 22.86 | | |
| Skip Deep Generative Model [23] | | $16.61 {\pm} 0.24$ | | |
| Our model | 18.44 ± 4.8 | 8.11 ± 1.3 | 6.16 ± 0.58 | |
| Ensemble of 10 of our models | | 5.88 ± 1.0 | | |

(Salimans et al 2016)

Optimization and Games

Optimization: find a minimum:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Game:

Player 1 controls $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}$ Player 2 controls $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)}$ Player 1 wants to minimize $J^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)})$ Player 2 wants to minimize $J^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(2)})$ Depending on J functions, they may compete or cooperate.

Other Games in AI

- Robust optimization / robust control
 - for security/safety, e.g. resisting adversarial examples
- Domain-adversarial learning for domain adaptation
- Adversarial privacy
- Guided cost learning
- Predictability minimization

Conclusion

- GANs are generative models that use supervised learning to approximate an intractable cost function
- GANs may be useful for text-to-speech and for speech recognition, especially in the semi-supervised setting
- Finding Nash equilibria in high-dimensional, continuous, non-convex games is an important open research problem