

Homework 3

Due: April 26, 2023

Note: You may discuss these problems in groups. However, you must write up your own solutions and mention the names of the people in your group. Also, please do mention any books, papers or other sources you refer to. It is recommended that you typeset your solutions in \LaTeX . Homeworks are due by the start of class on the due date.

1. Random Orderings.

Let Ω be the set of all $n!$ permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and ν be the uniform distribution over Ω . That is, $\nu(\omega) = 1/n!$ for each permutation $\omega \in \Omega$.

- Given permutation $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, an *inversion* is a pair (i, j) such that $i < j$ but $\omega_i > \omega_j$. Let $X(\omega)$ be the number of inversions in ω . What is $\mathbb{E}[X]$? Be clear about your reasoning.
- We say that position i is a *prefix-maximum* in permutation $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ if $\omega_i > \omega_j$ for all $j < i$. Let $Y(\omega)$ be the number of prefix maxima in ω . What is $\mathbb{E}[Y]$? Explain your reasoning.

2. t -Universal Hashing.

We say that H is t -universal over range M if, for every fixed sequence of t distinct inputs s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t and for any h chosen at random according to H , the sequence $h(s_1), h(s_2), \dots, h(s_t)$ is equally likely to be any of the M^t sequences of length t with elements drawn from $\{0, 1, \dots, M-1\}$. It's easy to see that if H is 2-universal then it is universal.

Consider strings s of length n from the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ where $k \geq 2$. One way to construct a hash function over such inputs is to generate a 2-dimensional $n \times k$ table T of b -bit random numbers where $b = \lg(M)$. The first index of $T_{i,j}$ is in the range $[1, n]$ and the second index is in the range $[0, k-1]$. Here the hash function h_T is defined as follows:

$$h_T(s) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_{i,s[i]}$$

where $s[i]$ is the i th character in s and " \bigoplus " represents the xor function of integers represented in binary.

- Prove that this construction is *not* 4-universal.

(b) Prove that this construction is 3-universal.

3. **MAX-SAT Revisited.**

In class, we saw that if F is a k -CNF formula of m clauses in which every clause has size exactly k (and you are not allowed to repeat variables inside a clause), then there must exist an assignment satisfying at least $\lceil m(1 - 1/2^k) \rceil$ clauses of F . In this question, you will give an efficient deterministic algorithm to find such an assignment.

- (a) Prove the following claim: Suppose we have a CNF formula F of m clauses, with m_1 clauses of size 1, m_2 of size 2, etc. ($m = m_1 + m_2 + \dots$). Let X be a random variable denoting the number of clauses satisfied in a random assignment. Then $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_k m_k(1 - 1/2^k)$.
- (b) Here is a deterministic algorithm. Compute $E = \mathbb{E}[X]$ using the formula from part (a), and then compute $E_0 = \mathbb{E}[X|x_1 = 0]$ and $E_1 = \mathbb{E}[X|x_1 = 1]$ using a similar formula. Set x_1 based on whichever of E_0 and E_1 is larger. Now, recurse on the formula remaining.
 - i. Explain how you can efficiently compute E_0 and E_1 in this algorithm.
 - ii. Explain why this algorithm is guaranteed to find a solution that satisfies at least $E = \mathbb{E}[X]$ clauses.

Note: this approach is called the “conditional expectation method”