TTIC 31250: An Introduction to the Theory of Machine Learning

Machine Learning and Differential Privacy

Avrim Blum

05/25/22

Learning and Privacy

- To do machine learning, we need data.
- What if the data contains sensitive information?
- Even if the (person running the) learning algo can be trusted, perhaps the output of the algorithm reveals sensitive info.
- E.g., using search logs of friends to recommend query completions:

Why are _
Why are my feet so itchy?

Learning and Privacy

- To do machine learning, we need data.
- What if the data contains sensitive information?
- Even if the (person running the) learning algo can be trusted, perhaps the output of the algorithm reveals sensitive info.
- E.g., SVM or perceptron on medical data:
  - Suppose feature j is has-green-hair and the learned w has $w_j \neq 0$.
  - If there is only one person in town with green hair, you know they were in the study.

Learning and Privacy

- To do machine learning, we need data.
- What if the data contains sensitive information?
- Even if the (person running the) learning algo can be trusted, perhaps the output of the algorithm reveals sensitive info.
- An approach to address these problems:

Differential Privacy

A preliminary story

- A classic result from theoretical crypto:
  - Say you want to figure out the average numeric grade of people in the room, without revealing anything about your own grade other than what is inherent in the answer.

A preliminary story

- A classic result from theoretical crypto:
  - Say you want to figure out the average numeric grade of people in the room, without revealing anything about your own grade other than what is inherent in the answer.
- Turns out you can actually do this. In fact, any function at all. "secure multiparty computation".
  - It's really cool. Want to try?
- Anyone have to go to the bathroom?
  - What happens if we do it again?

Differential privacy "lets you go to the bathroom in peace"

Differential Privacy

High level idea:

- What we want is a protocol that has a probability distribution over outputs:



such that if person i changed their input from x_i to any other allowed x_i', the relative probabilities of any output do not change by much.

- This would effectively allow that person to pretend their input was any other value they wanted.

Bayes rule: $\frac{\Pr(x_i|output)}{\Pr(x_i'|output)} = \frac{\Pr(output|x_i)}{\Pr(output|x_i')} \cdot \frac{\Pr(x_i)}{\Pr(x_i')}$

(Posterior ≈ Prior)

Differential Privacy: Definition

It's a property of a protocol A which you run on some dataset X producing some output $A(X)$.

- A is ϵ-*differentially private* if for any two neighbor datasets S, S' (differ in just one element $x_i \rightarrow x_i'$),



for all outcomes v,

$$e^{-\epsilon} \leq Pr(A(S)=v)/Pr(A(S')=v) \leq e^{\epsilon}$$

$\approx 1-\epsilon$

probability over randomness in A

$\approx 1+\epsilon$

Differential Privacy: Definition

It's a property of a protocol A which you run on some dataset X producing some output $A(X)$.

- A is ϵ-*differentially private* if for any two neighbor datasets S, S' (differ in just one element $x_i \rightarrow x_i'$),

View as model of plausible deniability

(pretend after the fact that my input was really x_i')

for all outcomes v,

$$e^{-\epsilon} \leq \Pr(A(S)=v)/\Pr(A(S')=v) \leq e^{\epsilon}$$

$\approx 1-\epsilon$

probability over randomness in A

$\approx 1+\epsilon$

Differential Privacy: Methods

It's a property of a protocol A which you run on some dataset X producing some output $A(X)$.

- Can we achieve it?
- Sure, just have $A(X)$ always output 0. Or random output independent of X.
- This is perfectly private, but also completely useless.
- Can we achieve it while still providing useful information?

Laplace Mechanism

Say have n inputs in range [0,b]. Want to release average while preserving privacy.

- Changing one input can affect average by ≤ b/n.
- Idea: take answer and add noise from Laplace distrib $p(x) \propto e^{-|x|\epsilon n/b}$
- Changing one input changes prob of any given answer by ≤ e^{ϵ}.

$$\frac{e^{-|x-b/n|\epsilon n/b}}{e^{-|x|\epsilon n/b}} \leq e^{\epsilon}.$$



Laplace Mechanism

Say have n inputs in range [0,b]. Want to release average while preserving privacy.

- Changing one input can affect average by $\leq$ b/n.
- Idea: take answer and add noise from Laplace distrib $p(x) \propto e^{-|x|\epsilon n/b}$



- Amount of noise added will likely be $\approx \pm b/(n\epsilon)$.
- To get an overall error of $\pm\tau$, you need a sample size $n = \frac{b}{\tau\epsilon}$.
- Get a utility/privacy/database-size tradeoff.
- If want to estimate mean of a distribution up to $\pm\tau$ and the database is an iid sample, then for $\tau < \epsilon$ you can get privacy "for free".

Laplace mechanism more generally



- E.g., f = standard deviation of income
- E.g., f = result of some fancy computation.

Global Sensitivity of f:

$$GS_f = \max_{\text{neighbors } X,X'} |f(X) - f(X')|$$

- Just add noise $\text{Lap}(GS_f / \epsilon)$.

What can we do with this?



- Interface to ask questions
- Run learning algorithms by breaking down interaction into series of queries with noisy answers.
- *But, each answer leaks some privacy:*
  - If k questions and want total privacy loss of ϵ, better answer each with ϵ/k.

Can run SQ algorithms

- Anything learnable via Statistical Queries is learnable differentially privately using Laplace mechanism.
- Statistical query model:



- Many algorithms can be re-written to interface via such statistical estimates.

Can run SQ algorithms

- Anything learnable via Statistical Queries is learnable differentially privately using Laplace mechanism.
- Statistical query model:



- Really tailor-made for DP.
- In fact, for a single query, Laplace mechanism adds noise $1/(\epsilon n)$.
- If need to ask Q question of tolerance τ, can use $n \geq \max\left(\frac{Q}{\epsilon\tau}, \frac{Q}{\tau^2}\right)$

Privately learnable = SQ-learnable?

- [KLNRS08]: Actually, anything learnable is learnable in principle with DP.
  - Exponential mechanism for general classes.
    - Assign score to each $f \in C$, exponentially decaying in its suboptimality.
    - Choose from this distrib over C.
  - Efficient algorithm for C = {parity functions}.
    - Interesting since not known to be efficiently learnable with noise, and *provably not SQ-learnable.*
  - SQ-learnable = learnable with local privacy, where no centralized database at all.

Local Sensitivity



- Consider f = median income
  - On some databases, f could be *very* sensitive. E.g., 3 people at salary=0, 3 people at salary=b, and you.
  - But on many databases, it's not.
  - If f is not very sensitive on the actual input X, does that mean we don't need to add much noise?

$$LS_f(X) = \max_{\text{nbrs } X'} |f(X)-f(X')|$$

Local Sensitivity



- Consider f = median income
  - If f is not very sensitive on the actual input X, does that mean we don't need to add much noise?
- Be careful: what if sensitivity itself is sensitive?



Smooth Sensitivity



- [NRS07] prove can instead use (roughly) the following smooth bound instead:

$$\text{Max}_y \left[LS_f(Y) e^{-\epsilon d(X,Y)} \right]$$

Smooth Sensitivity



- In principle, could apply sensitivity idea to any learning algorithm (say) that you'd like to run on your data.
- But might be hard to figure out

Objective perturbation [CMS08]



Alg* = Alg + noise

Alg*(X)

- Idea: add noise to the objective function used by the learning algorithm.
- Natural for algorithms like SVMs that have regularization term.
- [CMS] show how to do this, if use a smooth loss function. Also show nice experimental results.

So far: learning as goal, privacy as constraint

Now: learning as tool for achieving stronger privacy

Answering more questions

"Add iid noise" approach can only answer a limited number of questions before it has to shut down.

- Fundamental limit: #questions $|S|^2$ to preserve this kind of privacy?
- Output "sanitized database" people can examine as they wish?



Idea: consider SQ's from class of small VC dim



- Fix a class Q of statistical (i.e., counting/n) queries you care about (e.g., all 2^d marginals).
- VC-dimension bounds: whp a random subsample of size $O(VCdim(Q)/\alpha^2)$, will approximate all $q \in Q$ up to $\pm\alpha$.
- If $n \gg VCdim(Q)/(\epsilon\alpha^2)$, this offers at least $(0,\epsilon)$ privacy. Maybe can invert?

With probability $1-\epsilon$, nothing is revealed about you, with prob ϵ, everything is revealed about you. We want: with prob 1, very little is revealed about you.

Idea: consider SQ's from class of small VC dim



[BLR08] building on [KLNRS08]: Use this with the "exponential mechanism": Explicit distrib over sets of size $m=O(VCdim(Q)/\alpha^2)$

$$Pr(S') \propto e^{-O(\epsilon\, n\, penalty(S'))}$$

Penalty(S') = $maxgap_{S,S'}(Q)$

- This satisfies privacy because changing one entry in S can cause penalty(S') to change by at most $1/n$.
- But will it produce an S' of low penalty?

Idea: consider SQ's from class of small VC dim



[BLR08] building on [KLNRS08]: Use this with the "exponential mechanism": Explicit distrib over sets of size $m=O(VCdim(Q)/\alpha^2)$

$\Pr(S') \propto e^{-O(\epsilon\, n\, \text{penalty}(S'))}$

Penalty(S') = $\text{maxgap}_{S,S'}(Q)$

- Solve for n s.t. bad S' (penalty>α) have prob $\ll 1/2^{md}$.
- $\epsilon n\alpha \gg md = \left(\frac{\text{VCdim(Q)}}{\alpha^2}\right) d.$ $n \gg \frac{\text{VCdim(Q)}d}{\epsilon\alpha^3}$ is sufficient.