Mathematical Toolkit Autumn 2023

Lecture 7: October 17, 2023

Lecturer: Madhur Tulsiani

## 1 Rayleigh quotients: eigenvalues as optimization

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\varphi: V \to V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator and  $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ . The Rayleigh quotient of  $\varphi$  at v is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) := \frac{\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2}.$$

**Proposition 1.2** *Let* dim(V) = n and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v)$$
 and  $\lambda_n = \min_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v)$ 

Using the above, Rayleigh quotients can be used to prove the spectral theorem for Hilbert spaces, by showing that the above maximum<sup>1</sup> is attained at a point in the space, and defines an eigenvalue if the operator  $\varphi$  is "compact". A proof can be found in these notes by Paul Garrett [?].

**Proposition 1.3 (Courant-Fischer theorem)** *Let* dim(V) = n and let  $\varphi: V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_k = \max_{S \subseteq V \atop \dim(S) = k} \min_{v \in S \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) = \min_{S \subseteq V \atop \dim(S) = n-k+1} \max_{v \in S \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v)$$
 .

**Definition 1.4** Let  $\varphi: V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator.  $\Phi$  is said to be positive semidefinite if  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) \geq 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .  $\Phi$  is said to be positive definite if  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) > 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, we should write sup and inf instead of max and min until we can justify that max and min are well defined. The difference is that sup and inf are defined as limits while max and min are defined as actual maximum and minimum values in a space, and these may not always exist while we are at looking infinitely many values. Thus, while  $\sup_{x \in (0,1)} x = 1$ , the quantity  $\max_{x \in (0,1)} x$  does not exist. However, in the cases we consider, the max and min will always exist (since our spaces are closed under limits) and we will use max and min in the class to simplify things.

**Proposition 1.5** *Let*  $\varphi: V \to V$  *be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then the following are equivalent:* 

- 1.  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) \geq 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .
- 2. All eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  are non-negative.
- 3. There exists  $\alpha: V \to V$  such that  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$ .

The decomposition of a positive semidefinite operator in the form  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$  is known as the Cholesky decomposition of the operator. Note that if we can write  $\varphi$  as  $\alpha^* \alpha$  for any  $\alpha : V \to W$ , then this in fact also shows that  $\varphi$  is self-adjoint and positive semidefinite.

## 2 Singular Value Decomposition

Let V,W be finite-dimensional inner product spaces and let  $\varphi:V\to W$  be a linear transformation. Since the domain and range of  $\varphi$  are different, we cannot analyze it in terms of eigenvectors. However, we can use the spectral theorem to analyze the operators  $\varphi^*\varphi:V\to V$  and  $\varphi\varphi^*:W\to W$  and use their eigenvectors to derive a nice decomposition of  $\varphi$ . This is known as the singular value decomposition (SVD) of  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $\varphi: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Then  $\varphi^* \varphi: V \to V$  and  $\varphi \varphi^*: W \to W$  are self-adjoint positive semidefinite linear operators with the same non-zero eigenvalues.

**Proof:** The self-adjointness and positive semidefiniteness of the operators  $\varphi \varphi^*$  and  $\varphi^* \varphi$  follows from the exercise characterizing positive semidefinite operators in the previous lecture. Specifically, we can see that for any  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ ,

$$\langle w_1, \varphi \varphi^*(w_2) \rangle = \langle w_1, \varphi(\varphi^*(w_2)) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(w_1), \varphi^*(w_2) \rangle = \langle \varphi \varphi^*(w_1), w_2 \rangle.$$

This gives that  $\varphi \varphi^*$  is self-adjoint. Similarly, we get that for any  $w \in W$ 

$$\langle w, \varphi \varphi^*(w) \rangle \ = \ \langle w, \varphi(\varphi^*(w)) \rangle \ = \ \langle \varphi^*(w), \varphi^*(w) \rangle \ \geq \ 0 \, .$$

This implies that the Rayleigh quotient  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi\varphi^*}$  is non-negative for any  $w \neq 0$  which implies that  $\varphi\varphi^*$  is positive semidefinite. The proof for  $\varphi^*\varphi$  is identical (using the fact that  $(\varphi^*)^* = \varphi$ ).

Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  be an eigenvalue of  $\varphi^*\varphi$ . Then there exists  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\varphi^*\varphi(v) = \lambda \cdot v$ . Applying  $\varphi$  on both sides, we get  $\varphi\varphi^*(\varphi(v)) = \lambda \cdot \varphi(v)$ . However, note that if  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\varphi(v)$  cannot be zero (why?) Thus  $\varphi(v)$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi\varphi^*$  with the same eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

We can notice the following from the proof of the above proposition.

**Proposition 2.2** Let v be an eigenvector of  $\varphi^*\varphi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $\varphi(v)$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi\varphi^*$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Similarly, if w is an eigenvector of  $\varphi\varphi^*$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi^*(w)$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi^*\varphi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

We will use these properties to develop a simple way of understanding the action of linear transformations  $\varphi: V \to W$ , mapping one inner product space to another.