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## 1 Orthogonality and orthonormality

Definition 1.1 Two vectors $u, v$ in an inner product space are said to be orthogonal if $\langle u, v\rangle=0$. A set of vectors $S \subseteq V$ is said to consist of mutually orthogonal vectors if $\langle u, v\rangle=0$ for all $u \neq v, u, v \in S$. A set of $S \subseteq V$ is said to be orthonormal if $\langle u, v\rangle=0$ for all $u \neq v, u, v \in S$ and $\|u\|=1$ for all $u \in S$.

Proposition 1.2 $A$ set $S \subseteq V \backslash\left\{0_{V}\right\}$ consisting of mutually orthogonal vectors is linearly independent.

Proof: Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in S$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{F}$ be such that $\sum_{i \in[n]} c_{i} \cdot v_{i}=0_{V}$. Taking inner product with a vector $v_{j}$ for $j \in[n]$, we get that $\sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot\left\langle v_{j}, v_{i}\right\rangle=0$. Since vectors in $S$ are mutually orthogonal, we get that $\left\langle v_{j}, v_{i}\right\rangle=0$ when $i \neq j$, which implies using the previous equality that that $c_{j}\left\langle v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle=0$. Since $v_{j} \neq 0_{V}$, we must have $\left\langle v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle>0$, and thus $c_{j}=0$. Also, since our choice of $j$ was arbitrary, this is true for all $j \in[n]$, implying $c_{1}=\cdots=c_{n}=0$. Thus, the only way a finite linear combination of vectors from $S$ equals $0_{V}$, if all coefficients are 0 , which implies that $S$ is linearly independent.

Proposition 1.3 (Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization) Given a finite set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ of linearly independent vectors, there exists a set of orthonormal vectors $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right) .
$$

Proof: By induction. The case with one vector is trivial. Given the statement for $k$ vectors and orthonormal $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}\right),
$$

define

$$
u_{k+1}=v_{k+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle w_{i}, v_{k+1}\right\rangle \cdot w_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{k+1}=\frac{u_{k+1}}{\left\|u_{k+1}\right\|} .
$$

It is easy to check that the set $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k+1}\right\}$ satisfies the required conditions.

Corollary 1.4 Every finite dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis.
In fact, Hilbert spaces also have orthonormal bases (which are countable). The existence of a maximal orthonormal set of vectors can be proved by using Zorn's lemma, similar to the proof of existence of a Hamel basis for a vector space. However, we still need to prove that a maximal orthonormal set is a basis. This follows because we define the basis slightly differently for a Hilbert space: instead of allowing only finite linear combinations, we allow infinite ones. The correct way of saying this is that is we still think of the span as the set of all finite linear combinations, then we only need that for any $v \in V$, we can get arbitrarily close to $v$ using elements in the span (a converging sequence of finite sums can get arbitrarily close to its limit). Thus, we only need that the span is dense in the Hilbert space $V$. However, if the maximal orthonormal set is not dense, then it is possible to show that it cannot be maximal. Such a basis is known as a Hilbert basis.

### 1.1 Fourier coefficients

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional inner product space and let $B=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then for any $v \in V$, there exist $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v=\sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot w_{i}$. The coefficients $c_{i}$ are often called Fourier coefficients (of $v$, with respect to the basis $B$ ). Using the orthonormality and the properties of the inner product, we get

Proposition 1.5 Let $B=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$, and let $v \in V$ be expressible as $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \cdot w_{i}$. Then, for all $i \in[n]$, we must have $c_{i}=\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle$.

This can be used to prove the following
Proposition 1.6 (Parseval's identity) Let $V$ be a finite dimensional inner product space and let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then, for any $u, v \in V$

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\left\langle w_{i}, u\right\rangle} \cdot\left\langle w_{i}, v\right\rangle .
$$

## 2 Adjoint of a linear transformation

Definition 2.1 Let $V, W$ be inner product spaces over the same field $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. A transformation $\varphi^{*}: W \rightarrow V$ is called an adjoint of $\varphi$ if

$$
\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{*}(w), v\right\rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .
$$

Example 2.2 Let $V=W=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with the inner product $\langle u, v\rangle=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \cdot \overline{v_{i}} \text {. Let } \varphi: V \rightarrow V \text { be }{ }^{T} \text {. }{ }^{T} \text {. }}{}$ represented by the matrix $A$. Then $\varphi^{*}$ is represented by the matrix $\overline{A^{T}}$.

Example 2.3 Let $V=C([0,1],[-1,1])$ with the inner product defined as $\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) d x$, and let $W=C([0,1 / 2],[-1,1])$ with the inner product $\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} g_{1}(x) g_{2}(x) d x$. Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be defined as $\varphi(f)(x)=f(2 x)$. Then, $\varphi^{*}: W \rightarrow V$ can be defined as

$$
\varphi^{*}(g)(y)=(1 / 2) \cdot g(y / 2) .
$$

Exercise 2.4 Let $\varphi_{\text {left }}:$ Fib $\rightarrow$ Fib be the left shift operator as before, and let $\langle f, g\rangle$ for $f, g \in$ Fib be defined as $\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(n) g(n)}{C^{n}}$ for $C>4$. Find $\varphi_{\text {left }}^{*}$.

We will prove that every linear transformation has a unique adjoint. However, we first need the following characterization of linear transformations from $V$ to $\mathbb{F}$.

Proposition 2.5 (Riesz Representation Theorem) Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional inner product space over $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $z \in V$ such that $\alpha(v)=\langle z, v\rangle \forall v \in V$.

We only prove the theorem here for finite-dimensional spaces. However, the theorem holds for any Hilbert space, as long as the linear transformation is "continuous"'.

Proof: Let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then check that

$$
z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\alpha\left(w_{i}\right)} \cdot w_{i}
$$

must be the unique $z$ satisfying the required property.
This can be used to prove the following:
Proposition 2.6 Let $V, W$ be finite dimensional inner product spaces and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique $\varphi^{*}: W \rightarrow V$, such that

$$
\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{*}(w), v\right\rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .
$$

Proof: For each $w \in W$, the map $\langle w, \varphi(\cdot)\rangle: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear transformation (check!) and hence there exists a unique $z_{w} \in V$ satisfying $\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\left\langle z_{w}, v\right\rangle \forall v \in V$. Consider the map $\beta: W \rightarrow V$ defined as $\beta(w)=z_{w}$. By definition of $\beta$,

$$
\langle w, \varphi(v)\rangle=\langle\beta(w), v\rangle \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W .
$$

To check that $\alpha$ is linear, we note that $\forall v \in V, \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in W$,
$\left\langle\beta\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right), v\right\rangle=\left\langle w_{1}+w_{2}, \varphi(v)\right\rangle=\left\langle w_{1}, \varphi(v)\right\rangle+\left\langle w_{2}, \varphi(v)\right\rangle=\left\langle\beta\left(w_{1}\right), v\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta\left(w_{2}\right), v\right\rangle$, which implies $\beta\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)=\beta\left(w_{1}\right)+\beta\left(w_{2}\right)$ (why?) $\beta(c \cdot w)=c \cdot \beta(w)$ follows similarly.

Note that the above proof only requires the Riesz representation theorem (to define $z_{w}$ ), and hence also works for Hilbert spaces (when $\varphi$ is continuous).

